

Entropy of Dynamical Systems from the Observer's Viewpoint, with Countable σ -algebras

Mohammad Hosein Asadian¹, Abolfazl Ebrahimzadeh^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Bandar Abbas Branch, Islamic Azad University, Bandar Abbas, Iran

²Young Researchers and Elite Club, Zahedan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Zahedan, Iran

Received 3 June 2016; Revised 1 November 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of relative probability measure spaces by the concept of observer. The notions of relative entropy and relative conditional entropy of countable σ -algebras are defined and studied. Using the suggested concept of relative entropy we define the relative entropy of a dynamical system and we prove some of its ergodic properties. Finally it is proved that, the relative entropy of dynamical systems is invariant under conjugate relation.

©2017 World Academic Press, UK. All rights reserved.

Keywords: observer, countable relative σ -algebra, relative measure space, atom, relative entropy

1 Introduction

The study of the concept entropy is very important in sciences nowadays. Entropy plays an important role in a variety of problem areas, including physics, computer science, general systems theory, information theory, statistics, biology, chemistry, sociology and others. One of the applied branches of mathematics is the entropy of a dynamical system. The notion of observer has been applied in dynamical systems, topology, information theory and mathematical physics [1, 6, 14, 15]. So the study of the concept of entropy of dynamical systems by the notion of observer is very important. The entropy of a fuzzy process is defined and studied in [2, 3, 9, 17, 18]. Klement in [13] studied the notions of fuzzy σ -algebra and F -probability measure space. Khare defined and studied the notions of entropy and conditional entropy of finite fuzzy σ -algebras [11, 12]. The notions of entropy and conditional entropy of infinite fuzzy σ -algebras are defined and studied in [3]. In the present paper, we define the notions of relative σ -algebra and relative probability measure space by using an observer and then we define the notions of entropy and conditional entropy of infinite relative σ -algebras. We prove some ergodic properties about them. After that we define relative measure preserving transformation and consider it as a relative dynamical system. Using the suggested concept of relative entropy, we define the relative entropy of a dynamical system and prove some theorems about the measure. It is noted that some investigations concerning entropy of dynamical systems and related notions in the above setup were carried in [4, 5, 7, 8, 10].

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we define the notions of relative σ -algebra, relative probability measure space and relative measure preserving transformation. In section 3, the entropy and conditional entropy of infinite relative σ -algebras are defined and basic properties of these measures are proved. In section 4, using the suggested concept of entropy of infinite relative σ -algebras, we define the entropy of a relative dynamical system. Finally, it is shown that conjugate dynamical systems have the same relative entropy. Our results are summarized in the final section.

2 Relative Measure Spaces

In this section, we define the notion of relative σ -algebra by using an observer and then we define the notion of relative probability measure space. Also, we define the notion of relative measure preserving transformation.

*Corresponding author.

Emails: m.asadian@iauba.ac.ir (M.H. Asadian), abolfazl35@yahoo.com (A. Ebrahimzadeh).

Let X be a non-empty set and an observer be a fuzzy set $\eta : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.1 A σ -algebra from the point of view η , is a collection Σ_η of subsets of η , ($\lambda \subseteq \eta$ i.e. $\forall x \in X$, $\lambda(x) \leq \eta(x)$) such that:

- (i) $\eta \in \Sigma_\eta$;
- (ii) If $\lambda \in \Sigma_\eta$ then $\eta - \lambda \in \Sigma_\eta$;
- (iii) If $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq \Sigma_\eta$ then $\bigvee_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i = \sup_i \lambda_i \in \Sigma_\eta$.

Note that if $\eta = 1$, then the σ -algebra from the point of view $\eta = 1$ is the fuzzy σ -algebra [13].

Example 2.2 Let $X = [-1, 1]$ and $\eta : X \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ defined by $\eta(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ for every $x \in [-1, 1]$. Then $\Sigma_\eta = \{\lambda_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\} \cup \{\mu_k : k = 2, 3, \dots\}$ is a σ -algebra from the point of view η , where $\lambda_j : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1/2]$ by $\lambda_j(x) = 1/2^j$ and $\mu_k : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1/2]$ by $\mu_k(x) = (2^{k-1} - 1)/2^k$. Let us check the properties of Σ_η .

- (i) $\eta = \lambda_1 \in \Sigma_\eta$;
- (ii) For every $j \in \mathbf{N}$, $\eta - \lambda_j = \mu_j \in \Sigma_\eta$ and $\eta - \mu_j = \lambda_j \in \Sigma_\eta$;
- (iii) Let $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq \Sigma_\eta$. Since the sequences $\{\lambda_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and $\{\mu_k\}_{k=2}^\infty$ are monotone, we get $\sup_i \{\gamma_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\} \in \Sigma_\eta$.

Definition 2.3 A function $m : \Sigma_\eta \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called η -relative probability measure when,

- (i) $m(\eta) = \sup_x \eta(x)$;
- (ii) $m(\eta - \lambda) = m(\eta) - m(\lambda)$ for every $\lambda \in \Sigma_\eta$;
- (iii) $m(\lambda \vee \mu) + m(\lambda \wedge \mu) = m(\lambda) + m(\mu)$ for every $\lambda, \mu \in \Sigma_\eta$;
- (iv) If $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq \Sigma_\eta$ and $\lambda_i \uparrow \lambda$, then $m(\lambda) = \sup_i m(\lambda_i)$.

Now we say that (X, Σ_η, m) is a relative probability measure space and each element of Σ_η is a relative measurable set.

In Definition 2.3 you observe that the $\eta = 1$ -relative probability measure is the F -probability measure and (X, Σ_1, m) is the F -probability measure space which were defined in [13].

Example 2.4 Let Σ_η be the relative σ -algebra defined in Example 2.2. Define $m : \Sigma_\eta \rightarrow [0, 1/2]$ by $m(\lambda_j) = 1/2^j$, $m(\mu_k) = \mu_k = (2^{k-1} - 1)/2^k$. Also let \vee and \wedge mean respectively supremum and infimum. Then (X, Σ_η, m) is a relative probability measure space. It is easy to see that the properties of Definition 2.3, hold.

Definition 2.5 Let (X, Σ_η, m) be a relative probability measure space. For $\lambda, \mu \in \Sigma_\eta$, the relation $= (\text{mod } m)$ is defined by

$$\lambda = \mu (\text{mod } m) \iff m(\lambda) = m(\mu) = m(\lambda \vee \mu).$$

The relation $= (\text{mod } m)$ is an equivalence relation on Σ_η . The set of all equivalence classes induced by this relation is denoted by $\tilde{\Sigma}_\eta$, and $\tilde{\mu}$ denotes the equivalence class determined by μ . $\lambda, \mu \in \Sigma_\eta$ is called m -disjoint if $\lambda \wedge \mu = 0 (\text{mod } m)$, i.e. $m(\lambda \wedge \mu) = 0$.

Definition 2.6 Let (X, Σ_η, m) be a relative probability measure space and let N be a relative sub- σ -algebra of $\tilde{\Sigma}_\eta$. An element $\tilde{\mu} \in \tilde{N}$ is called an atom of N if $m(\mu) > 0$ and, for any $\tilde{\lambda} \in \tilde{N}$,

$$m(\lambda \wedge \mu) = m(\lambda) \neq m(\mu) \implies m(\lambda) = 0.$$

The set of all atoms of N is denoted by \tilde{N} , and $F(\Sigma_\eta)$ denote the collection of relative sub- σ -algebras of Σ_η with countably many atoms.

Definition 2.7 Let (X_1, M_1, m_1) and (X_2, M_2, m_2) be η -relative probability measure spaces. We say $T : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a (η, η) relative measure preserving transformation when:

- (i) $T^{-1}(\mu) \wedge \eta \in M_1$, for every $\mu \in M_2$ where $(T^{-1}(\mu))(x) = \mu(T(x))$;
- (ii) $m_1(T^{-1}(\mu) \wedge \eta) = m_2(\mu)$ for all $\mu \in \tilde{M}_2$.

Theorem 2.8 Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a (η, η) relative measure preserving transformation, and let $N \in F(\Sigma_\eta)$. Then $T^{-1}N \in F(\Sigma_{\eta \wedge T^{-1}\eta})$, where

$$T^{-1}N = \{\eta \wedge T^{-1}\mu : \mu \in \tilde{N}\}.$$

Proof. It is necessary to check the axioms of Definition 2.1 for $T^{-1}N$. Since $\eta \in \tilde{N}$, we have $\eta \wedge T^{-1}\eta \in T^{-1}\tilde{N}$. Let $\eta \wedge T^{-1}\mu \in T^{-1}\tilde{N}$. $\mu \in \tilde{N}$ implies that $\eta - \mu \in \tilde{N}$. So $(\eta \wedge T^{-1}\eta) - (\eta \wedge T^{-1}\mu) = \eta \wedge T^{-1}(\eta - \mu) \in T^{-1}\tilde{N}$. Now let $\{\eta \wedge T^{-1}\mu_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq T^{-1}\tilde{N}$. Since $N \in F(\Sigma_{\eta})$, we can write

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\eta \wedge T^{-1}\mu_i) = \eta \wedge \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} T^{-1}\mu_i = \eta \wedge T^{-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i) \in T^{-1}\tilde{N}.$$

Note that $m_{\eta}(\eta \wedge T^{-1}(\mu)) = m(\mu) > 0$ for all $\mu \in \tilde{N}$ because T is a (η, η) relative measure preserving transformation.

Definition 2.9 Let (X, M, m) be a η -relative probability measure space. We say $T : X \rightarrow X$ is relative ergodic if for every atom $\mu \in \tilde{M}$ with $(T^{-1}\mu) \wedge \eta = \mu$ we deduce that $m(\mu) = 0$ or $m_{\eta}(\mu) = m(\eta)$. Then m is called T -relative ergodic.

Theorem 2.10 Let Ω be the set of invariant relative probability measures on X (i.e $T^{-1}(\mu) \wedge \eta = \mu$ for every $T \in \Omega$). Also suppose that $m_1, m_2 \in \Omega$, $0 < \lambda < m(\eta)$ and $m = \lambda m_1 + (m(\eta) - \lambda)m_2$ imply that $m_1 = m_2$. Then m is relative ergodic.

Proof. Suppose m is not relative ergodic. So there exists $\mu \in \tilde{M}$ such that $0 < m(\mu) < m(\eta)$ and $T^{-1}(\mu) \wedge \eta = \mu$. For every atom $\xi \in \tilde{M}$ we have

$$\xi = (\xi \wedge \mu) \vee (\xi \wedge (\eta - \mu)).$$

Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned} m(\xi) &= m((\xi \wedge \mu) \vee (\xi \wedge (\eta - \mu))) \\ &= m(\mu) \left(\frac{m(\xi \wedge \mu)}{m(\mu)} \right) + m(\eta - \mu) \left(\frac{m(\xi \wedge (\eta - \mu))}{m(\eta - \mu)} \right) \\ &= \lambda m_1(\xi) + (m(\eta) - \lambda)m_2(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = m(\mu)$, $m_1(\xi) = m(\xi \wedge \mu)/m(\mu)$ and $m_2(\xi) = m(\xi \wedge (\eta - \mu))/m(\eta - \mu)$. This implies that $m_{\eta} = \lambda m_1 + (m(\eta) - \lambda)m_2$.

In the remainder of this paper, M is a countable η -relative σ -algebra and $F_{\eta}(M)$ is the set of all η -relative sub σ -algebras of M with countably many atoms.

Definition 2.11 Let M be a η -relative σ -algebra and $N_1, N_2 \in F_{\eta}(M)$ such that $\{\lambda_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and $\{\mu_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ be the atoms of N_1, N_2 , respectively. Then their join is defined by

$$N_1 \vee N_2 = \{\lambda_i \wedge \mu_j : m(\lambda_i \wedge \mu_j) > 0, i, j \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

Definition 2.12 Let (X, M, m) be a η -relative probability measure space and $N_1, N_2 \in F_{\eta}(M)$. Then N_2 is called an m -refinement of N_1 and denoted by $N_1 \leq_m N_2$, if for every $\mu \in \tilde{N}_2$, there exists $\lambda \in \tilde{N}_1$ such that $m(\lambda \wedge \mu) = m(\mu)$.

3 Relative Entropy of σ -Algebras

In this section we define the notions of relative entropy and relative conditional entropy of relative σ -algebras and we prove some ergodic properties about them.

Definition 3.1 Let (X, M, m) be a η -relative probability measure space and $N \in F_{\eta}(M)$. The relative entropy of N is defined by:

$$H_{\eta}(N) = -\log \sup_{\mu_i \in \tilde{N}} m(\mu_i).$$

Theorem 3.2 Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a (η, η) relative measure preserving transformation of relative probability space (X, M, m) . If $N \in F_{\eta}(M)$, then

$$H_{\eta}(T^{-1}N) = H_{\eta}(N).$$

Proof. Suppose $\tilde{N} = \{\mu_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Since T is a (η, η) relative measure preserving transformation, we have for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$m_\eta(\eta \wedge T^{-1}\mu_i) = m(\mu_i).$$

But $T^{-1}N = \{\eta \wedge T^{-1}\mu_i : \mu_i \in N\}$, thus

$$H_\eta(T^{-1}N) = -\log \sup_i m(\eta \wedge T^{-1}\mu_i) = -\log \sup_i m(\mu_i) = H_\eta(N).$$

Definition 3.3 Let (X, M, m) be a η -relative probability measure space and $N_1, N_2 \in F_\eta(M)$. Also let $\tilde{N}_1 = \{\mu_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}$, $\tilde{N}_2 = \{\lambda_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$. The conditional relative entropy of N_1 given N_2 is defined by:

$$H_\eta(N_1|N_2) = -\log \frac{\sup_{i,j} m(\mu_i \wedge \lambda_j)}{\sup_j m(\lambda_j)}.$$

Example 3.4 Let Σ_η be the relative σ -algebra and m be the η -relative probability measure defined in Examples 2.2 and 2.4. Suppose $N_1 = \{\lambda_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and $N_2 = \{\mu_k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Then $F(\Sigma_\eta) = N_1 \cup N_2$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H_\eta(N_1) &= -\log \sup_{\lambda_j \in \tilde{N}_1} m(\lambda_j) \\ &= -\log \sup_{\lambda_j \in \tilde{N}_1} \left(\frac{1}{2^j}\right) \\ &= -\log\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \log 2, \end{aligned}$$

also,

$$\begin{aligned} H_\eta(N_2) &= -\log \sup_{\mu_k \in \tilde{N}_2} m(\mu_k) \\ &= -\log \sup_{\mu_k \in \tilde{N}_2} \left(\frac{2^{k-1} - 1}{2^k}\right) \\ &= -\log \sup_{\mu_k \in \tilde{N}_2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^k}\right) \\ &= -\log\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \log 2, \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} H_\eta(N_2|N_1) &= -\log \frac{\sup_{k,j} m(\mu_k \wedge \lambda_j)}{\sup_j m(\lambda_j)} \\ &= -\log\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.5 Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a (η, η) relative measure preserving transformation of the relative probability space (X, M, m) . Also let $N_1, N_2 \in F_\eta(M)$. Then

$$H_\eta(T^{-1}N_1|T^{-1}N_2) = H_\eta(N_1|N_2).$$

Proof. Suppose $\tilde{N}_1 = \{\mu_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and $\tilde{N}_2 = \{\lambda_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Since T is a (η, η) relative measure preserving transformation and $T^{-1}\tilde{N}_1 = \{\eta \wedge T^{-1}\mu_i : \mu_i \in \tilde{N}_1\}$, $T^{-1}\tilde{N}_2 = \{\eta \wedge T^{-1}\lambda_j : \lambda_j \in \tilde{N}_2\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_\eta(T^{-1}N_1|T^{-1}N_2) &= -\log \frac{\sup_{i,j} m((\eta \wedge T^{-1}\mu_i) \wedge (\eta \wedge T^{-1}\lambda_j))}{\sup_j m(\eta \wedge T^{-1}\lambda_j)} \\ &= -\log \frac{\sup_{i,j} m(\eta \wedge T^{-1}(\mu_i \wedge \lambda_j))}{\sup_j m(\eta \wedge T^{-1}\lambda_j)} \\ &= -\log \frac{\sup_{i,j} m(\mu_i \wedge \lambda_j)}{\sup_j m(\lambda_j)} \\ &= H_\eta(N_1|N_2). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.6 Let (X, M, m) be a η -relative probability measure space and let $N_1, N_2, N_3 \in F_\eta(M)$ with $\tilde{N}_1 = \{\mu_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}$, $\tilde{N}_2 = \{\lambda_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and $\tilde{N}_3 = \{\gamma_k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Then

- (i) $\eta < 1$ iff $H_\eta(N_1) > 0$;
- (ii) $H_\eta(N_1 \vee N_2) \geq H_\eta(N_1)$ and $H_\eta(N_1 \vee N_2) \geq H_\eta(N_2)$;
- (iii) If N_1 and N_2 are independent, then

$$H_\eta(N_1 \vee N_2) = H_\eta(N_1) + H_\eta(N_2);$$

- (iv) $H_\eta(N_1 \vee N_2) = H_\eta(N_2) + H_\eta(N_1|N_2)$;
- (v) If N_1 and $N_2 \vee N_3$ are independent, then

$$H_\eta(N_1 \vee N_2|N_3) = H_\eta(N_1) + H_\eta(N_2|N_3);$$

- (vi) If $N_1 \leq_m N_2$ then $H_\eta(N_1) \leq H_\eta(N_2)$;
- (vii) If $N_1 \leq_m N_2$ then $H_\eta(N_1|N_3) \leq H_\eta(N_2|N_3)$.

Proof. (i) Obvious.

- (ii) Since $m(\mu_i) \geq m(\mu_i \wedge \lambda_j)$ for any $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$\sup_{i,j \in \mathbf{N}} m(\mu_i \wedge \lambda_j) \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} m(\mu_i).$$

So $H_\eta(N_1 \vee N_2) \geq H_\eta(N_1)$. Similarly $H_\eta(N_1 \vee N_2) \geq H_\eta(N_2)$.

- (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} H_\eta(N_1 \vee N_2) &= -\log \sup_{i,j} m(\mu_i \wedge \lambda_j) \\ &= -\log \sup_{i,j} m(\mu_i)m(\lambda_j) \\ &= H_\eta(N_1) + H_\eta(N_2). \end{aligned}$$

- (iv)

$$\sup_{i,j} m(\mu_i \wedge \lambda_j) = \frac{\sup_{i,j} m(\mu_i \wedge \lambda_j)}{\sup_j m(\lambda_j)} \sup_j m(\lambda_j).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H_\eta(N_1 \vee N_2) &= -\log \sup_{i,j} m(\mu_i \wedge \lambda_j) \\ &= -\log \frac{\sup_{i,j} m(\mu_i \wedge \lambda_j)}{\sup_j m(\lambda_j)} - \log \sup_j m(\lambda_j) \\ &= H_\eta(N_1|N_2) + H_\eta(N_2). \end{aligned}$$

- (v) Since N_1 and $N_2 \vee N_3$ are independent, we have for each $i, j, k \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$m_\eta(\mu_i \wedge (\lambda_j \wedge \gamma_k)) = m(\mu_i)m(\lambda_j \wedge \gamma_k).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} H_\eta(N_1 \vee N_2|N_3) &= -\log \sup_{i,j,k \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{m(\mu_i \wedge \lambda_j \wedge \gamma_k)}{m(\gamma_k)} \\ &= -\log \sup_{i,j,k \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{m(\mu_i)m(\lambda_j \wedge \gamma_k)}{m(\gamma_k)} \\ &= -\log \sup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} m(\mu_i) \sup_{j,k \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{m(\lambda_j \wedge \gamma_k)}{m(\gamma_k)} \\ &= -\log \sup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} m(\mu_i) - \log \sup_{j,k \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{m(\lambda_j \wedge \gamma_k)}{m(\gamma_k)} \\ &= H_\eta(N_1) + H_\eta(N_2|N_3). \end{aligned}$$

- (vi) Obvious.
 (vii) Since $N_1 \vee N_3 \leq_m N_2 \vee N_3$, by parts iv) and vi) we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_\eta(N_1|N_3) &= H_\eta(N_1 \vee N_3) - H_\eta(N_3) \\ &\leq H_\eta(N_2 \vee N_3) - H_\eta(N_3) \\ &= H_\eta(N_2|N_3). \end{aligned}$$

4 Relative Entropy of Dynamical Systems

In this section, using the suggested concept of relative entropy, we define the relative entropy of a dynamical system and prove some of its ergodic properties.

Definition 4.1 Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a relative (η, η) measure preserving transformation of (X, M, m) and let $N \in F_\eta(M)$ with $\tilde{N} = \{\mu_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}$. The relative entropy of T with respect to N is defined by:

$$h_\eta(T, N) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\eta(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} N).$$

Theorem 4.2 If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a relative measure preserving transformation of relative probability measure space (X, M, m) and $N_1, N_2 \in F_\eta(M)$ with the property in Definition 4.1, then

- (i) $h_\eta(T, N) \leq H_\eta(N)$;
 (ii) $N_1 \leq_m N_2$ implies that $h_\eta(T, N_1) \leq h_\eta(T, N_2)$;
 (iii) $h_\eta(T, T^{-1}N) = h_\eta(T, N)$.

Proof. The assertions follow from Theorems 3.6 (vi) and 3.2.

Definition 4.3 Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a (η, η) relative measure preserving transformation of (X, M, m) . The relative entropy of T is defined by:

$$h_\eta(T) = \sup_N h_\eta(T, N),$$

where the supremum is taken over all $N \in F_\eta(M)$ with the property in Definition 4.1.

Theorem 4.4 Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a (η, η) relative measure preserving transformation of relative probability space (X, M, m) . Then

- i) $h_\eta(id) = 0$;
 ii) For $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $h_\eta(T^k) = kh_\eta(T)$.

Proof. i) By the definition we have $\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} N = N$, for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore

$$h_\eta(id, N) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\eta(N) = 0.$$

ii) Let N be an arbitrary countable relative sub σ -algebra of M . We have

$$\begin{aligned} h_\eta(T^k, \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} N) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\eta(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} (T^k)^{-j} (\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} N)) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\eta(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} \bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-(kj+i)} N) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\eta(\bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1} T^{-i} N) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{nk}{n} \frac{1}{nk} H_\eta(\bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1} T^{-i} N) \\ &= kh_\eta(T, N). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$kh_\eta(T) = k \sup_N h_\eta(T, N) = \sup_N h_\eta(T^k, \bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i} N) \leq \sup_N h_\eta(T^k, N) = h_\eta(T^k).$$

Since $N \leq_m \bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i} N$, we have

$$h_\eta(T^k, N) \leq h_\eta(T^k, \bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i} N) = kh_\eta(T, N).$$

Definition 4.5 Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be two (η, η) relative measure preserving transformations of (X, M, m) . We say that T and S are η -conjugate if there exists a bijective (η, η) relative measure preserving transformation $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\varphi \circ T = S \circ \varphi$.

In the following theorem we prove that the relative entropy of relative dynamical systems is invariant under the relation of conjugate.

Theorem 4.6 If $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $S : X \rightarrow X$ are η -conjugate, then

$$h_\eta(T) = h_\eta(S).$$

Proof. By Definition 4.5, there exists a bijective (η, η) relative measure preserving transformation $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\varphi \circ T = S \circ \varphi$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} h_\eta(S, N) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\eta \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} S^{-i} N \right) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\eta \left(\varphi^{-1} \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} S^{-i} N \right) \right) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\eta \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi^{-1} (S^{-i} N) \right) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\eta \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-1} (\varphi^{-i} N) \right) \\ &= h_\eta(T, \varphi^{-1} N). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} h_\eta(S) &= \sup_N h_\eta(S, N) \\ &= \sup_N h_\eta(T, \varphi^{-1} N) \\ &\leq \sup_N h_\eta(T, N) \\ &= h_\eta(T). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $h_\eta(S) \leq h_\eta(T)$. Similarly we obtain $h_\eta(T) \leq h_\eta(S)$.

5 Conclusion

This contribution has defined the notions of relative σ -algebra, relative probability measure and relative probability measure spaces by the concept of observer. We defined entropy and conditional entropy of relative σ -algebras having countably many atoms. We proved some of their ergodic properties. Then, using the suggested concept of relative entropy of relative σ -algebras, the relative entropy of a dynamical system was defined. Finally, it was shown that isomorphic relative dynamical systems have the same relative entropy. Accordingly, this concept will be a new tool for distinction of non-isomorphic relative dynamical systems.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the editor and the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Asadian, M.H., and A. Ebrahimzadeh, Relative entropy and relative conditional entropy with infinite partitions, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol.35, pp.319–326, 2014.
- [2] Dumitrescu, D., Entropy of a fuzzy process, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol.55, pp.169–177, 1993.
- [3] Ebrahimi, M., and U. Mohamadi, m-generators of fuzzy dynamical systems, *Cankaya University Journal of Science and Engineering*, vol.9, pp.167–182, 2012.
- [4] Ebrahimzadeh, A., Quantum conditional logical entropy of dynamical systems, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol.36, pp.879–886, 2016.
- [5] Ebrahimzadeh, A., Logical entropy of quantum dynamical systems, *Open Physics*, vol.14, pp.1–5, 2016.
- [6] Ebrahimzadeh, A., The observational modelling of information function with finite partitions, *Journal of Mathematical Extension*, vol.10, no.2, pp.47–57, 2016.
- [7] Ebrahimzadeh, A., and M. Ebrahimi, Entropy of dynamical systems on weights of a graph, *Journal of Mahani Mathematical Research Center*, vol.2, pp.53–63, 2013.
- [8] Ebrahimzadeh, A., and M. Ebrahimi, The entropy of countable dynamical systems, *Upb Scientific Bulletin, Series A: Applied Mathematics and Physics*, vol.76, no.4, pp.107–114, 2014.
- [9] Ebrahimzadeh, A., and M. Ebrahimi, The entropy of fuzzy dynamical systems, *Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics*, vol.8, no.1, pp.17–23, 2013.
- [10] Ebrahimzadeh, A., and Z. Eslami Giski, Entropy of quantum dynamical systems with infinite partitions, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, accepted.
- [11] Khare, M., Fuzzy σ -algebras and conditional entropy, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol.102, pp.287–292, 1999.
- [12] Khare, M., Sufficient families and entropy of inverse limit, *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol.49, pp.443–452, 1999.
- [13] Klement, E.P., Fuzzy α -algebras and fuzzy measurable functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol.4, pp.83–93, 1980.
- [14] Malziri, M., and M. Molaei, Relative metric space, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, vol.40, no.5, pp.703–709, 2011.
- [15] Molaei, M.R., Observational modeling of topological spaces, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol.42, pp.615–619, 2009.
- [16] Srivastava, P., and M. Khare, Conditional entropy and Rokhlin metric, *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol.49, pp.433–441, 1999.
- [17] Srivastava, P., Khare, M., and Y.K. Srivastava, A fuzzy measure algebra as a metric space, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol.79, pp.395–400, 1996.
- [18] Srivastava, P., Khare, M., and Y.K. Srivastava, m-equivalence, entropy and F-dynamical systems, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol.121, pp.275–283, 2001.