When to Let in Late Students?
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Abstract

Some students are late for classes. If we let in these late, this disrupts the class and decreases the amount of effective lecture time for the students who arrived on time. On the other hand, if many students are late and we do not let them in, these students will miss the whole lecture period. It is therefore reasonable to sometimes let students in, but restrict the times when late students can enter the class. In this paper, we show how, depending on the number of late students – and depending on how late they are – we can find the optimal schedule of letting in late students.
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1 Formulation of the Problem

Letting in late students is disruptive. Some students are late for class. Letting them walk in all the time disrupts others. As a result, some teachers in schools and even some professors at the universities do not let late students in at all.

Comment. This is not only about classes. The famous Russian theater reformer Stanislavsky started his reform by not letting late spectators in – and thus, minimizing disruptions for others. This tradition is held in many theaters now.

Not letting in late students is probably too harsh. On the other hand, such a no-late policy may be too harsh, especially if we take into account that lateness is often caused by things beyond a student’s control – e.g., on a commuter campus like ours, an accident on a freeway that caused traffic delays make students arrive late to their first class of the day.

Resulting problem: when to let in late students? Based on the above discussion, we conclude that:

- in principle, it is desirable to let late students in, but
- we cannot let them in all the time.

So, we should select specific times when the students will be allowed to enter.

How this problem is solved now? Sometimes, these times are determined by the event. For example, in a symphony concert, late patrons have to wait for the end of the first musical piece to enter. What shall we do in a lecture where there are no such easily determined least-disruption times?

There are many heuristic ways of dealing with such situations. For example, a recent recollection volume by students from the Mathematical Department of St. Petersburg University, Russia, mentions that some professors teaching big Calculus classes would ask late students to wait until it is exactly 10 minutes after the beginning of the lecture, and let in all accumulated late students [1].

Need for an optimal solution. Instead of relying on such heuristic rules, it is desirable to come up with a precise solution to the problem – a solution obtained by optimizing an appropriately chosen objective function.

This is what we do in this paper.
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2 Formalization and Analysis of the Problem

Towards formalization of the problem. Let $T$ be the duration of the lecture, and let $\Delta$ denote the disruption time cause by letting late students in. For every real number $t \in [0, T]$, Let $f(t)$ denote the total proportion of students who arrive between the beginning of the lecture and time $t$ after the lecture started.

Our objective is to minimize the total disruption, i.e., minimize the disruption time per student.

When we do not let in late students. If we do not let any late students in, then the only disruption comes from late students missing the class. Each of the late students missed time $T$, and the proportion of late students is $f(T)$. Thus, the resulting disruption per student is

$$D_0 = T \cdot f(T).$$

When we let in late students at one single moment of time. If we let in late students at a single moment of time $t_1$, then there are three sources of disruption:

- there is a disruption $\Delta \cdot (1 - f(T))$ (caused by letting students in) for all students who arrived on time;
- there is a disruption $t_1 \cdot f(t_1)$ caused by the fact that students who arrive between times 0 and $t_1$ miss time $t_1$;
- finally, there us a disruption $T \cdot (f(T) - f(t_1))$ caused by the fact that students who arrive after moment $t_1$ miss the whole lecture.

The resulting overall disruption is equal to

$$d_1(t_1) = \Delta \cdot (1 - f(T)) + t_1 \cdot f(t_1) + T \cdot (f(T) - f(t_1)).$$

The time $t_1$ should be selected from the condition that the resulting overall disruption is the smallest possible. For such an optimal value $t_1$, the resulting disruption is equal to

$$D_1 = \min\{\Delta \cdot (1 - f(T)) + t_1 \cdot f(t_1) + T \cdot (f(T) - f(t_1)) : 0 \leq t_1 \leq T\}.$$

The optimal value $t_1$ can be determined by the condition that the derivative of the minimized function is equal to 0, i.e., that

$$f(t_1) + t_1 \cdot f'(t_1) - T \cdot f'(t_1) = 0,$$

where $f'(t)$ denoted the derivative of the function $f(t)$. This condition can be equivalently reformulated as

$$f(t_1) = (T - t_1) \cdot f'(t_1).$$

Shall we let in students or shall we not? Whether we should let in students at all or not depends on whether $D_1 = d_1(t_1) < D_0$. The corresponding inequality has the form

$$\Delta \cdot (1 - f(T)) + t_1 \cdot f(t_1) + T \cdot (f(T) - f(t_1)) < T \cdot f(T),$$

i.e., equivalently,

$$\Delta \cdot (1 - f(T)) + t_1 \cdot f(t_1) < T \cdot f(t_1),$$

which is, in turn, equivalent to

$$\Delta \cdot (1 - f(T)) < (T - t_1) \cdot f(t_1).$$
General case. Let us now consider the general case, in which we let students in at several \((k \geq 0)\) moments of time \(0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_k < T\). To simplify the description of this inequality, it makes sense to set \(t_0 = 0\) and \(t_{k+1} = T\), then this inequality has the form

\[
0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 \ldots < t_k < t_{k+1} = T.
\]

For every \(i\) from 0 to \(k\), students who arrive between times \(t_i\) and \(t_{i+1}\) lose time \(t_{i+1} - \) the next time late students are let in. The proportion of such students is \(f(t_{i+1}) - f(t_i)\), so the disruption for all these students is equal to \(t_{i+1} \cdot (f(t_{i+1}) - f(t_i))\). To those students who have already been sitting in class by the time of the \(i\)-th disruption – their proportion is \(1\) for all \(i\). Thus, the overall disruption is equal to

\[
\int_0^T (1 - f(T) + f(t_{i-1})) dt.
\]

For each integer \(i\), \(1 \leq i \leq k\), the resulting disruption is equal to

\[
d_k(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Delta \cdot (1 - f(T) + f(t_{i-1})) + \sum_{i=0}^{k} t_{i+1} \cdot (f(t_{i+1} - f(t_i))).
\]

The times \(t_1, \ldots, t_k\) should be selected from the condition that the resulting disruption is the smallest possible.

3 When to Let Late Students in: Solution

General solution. For each integer \(k\), we find values \(0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_k < t_{k+1} = T\) for which the expression

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \Delta \cdot (1 - f(T) + f(t_{i-1})) + \sum_{i=0}^{k} t_{i+1} \cdot (f(t_{i+1} - f(t_i))
\]

is the smallest possible. This can be done, e.g., by solving the following system of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{df(t)}{dt} & = (t_{i+1} - t_i - \Delta) \cdot f'(t_i), \quad i < k; \\
\frac{df(t)}{dt} & = (T - t_k) \cdot f'(t_k).
\end{align*}
\]

Let us denote the corresponding smallest value of the minimized expression by \(D_k\).

Then, we select \(k\) for which the value \(D_k\) is the smallest possible, and for this \(k\), take the corresponding minimizing values \(t_1, \ldots, t_k\). These are the times at which we let late students in.

Comment. When \(k\) increases, the second term in the optimization function tends to the Stiltjes integral \(\int t \cdot df(t)\) describing the overall disruption in the case when every late student is let in right away.
Example. Let us illustrate the above idea on the example when the students arrive uniformly, i.e., when 
\(f(t) = f_0 \cdot t\) for some \(f_0\). In this case, the above equation for determining \(t_i\) for \(i_k\) takes the form

\[ f_0 \cdot (t_i - t_{i-1}) = (t_{i+1} - t_i - \Delta) \cdot f_0, \]

i.e., equivalently, that \(\Delta t_i \overset{\text{def}}{=} t_{i+1} - t_i\) satisfies the condition \(\Delta t_i = \Delta t_{i-1} + \Delta\).

In other word, in this case, if there are several moments of time when we let students in, the waiting time before each letting-in increases by \(\Delta\) from the previous waiting time.

For \(i = k\), we similarly conclude that \(\Delta t_k = \Delta t_{k-1}\).

In this case, \(\Delta t_i = \Delta t_0 + i \cdot \Delta\) for \(i < k\), in particular, \(\Delta t_{k-1} = \Delta t_0 + (k-1) \cdot \Delta\). Thus, \(\Delta t_k = \Delta t_{k-1}\) implies that \(\Delta t_k = \Delta t_0 + (k-1) \cdot \Delta\).

We can now express the optimal values \(t_i\) in terms of the difference \(\Delta t_i\). Indeed, since \(t_0 = 0\), we have

\[ t_i = t_i - t_0 = (t_i - t_{i-1}) + (t_{i-1} - t_{i-2}) + \ldots + (t_1 - t_0) = \Delta t_{i-1} + \Delta t_{i-2} + \ldots + \Delta t_0 \]

\[ = \Delta t_0 + (i-1) \cdot \Delta + \Delta t_0 + (i-2) \cdot \Delta + \ldots + \Delta t_0 = i \cdot \Delta t_0 + \Delta \cdot (1 + 2 + \ldots + (i-1)), \]

hence

\[ t_i = i \cdot \Delta t_0 + \Delta \cdot \frac{(i-1) \cdot i}{2}. \]

To complete our description of the optimal schedule corresponding to the given number \(k\) of letting students in, we need to determine the value \(\Delta t_0\). This value can be determined from the fact that

\[ \Delta t_k = T - t_k = \Delta t_{k-1} + (k-1) \cdot \Delta. \]

From the above formula, we know that

\[ t_k = k \cdot \Delta t_0 + \Delta \cdot \frac{(k-1) \cdot k}{2}. \]

Thus, we conclude that

\[ T = t_k + \Delta t_k = (k+1) \cdot \Delta t_0 + \left(\frac{(k-1) \cdot k}{2} + (k-1)\right) \cdot \Delta \]

\[ = (k+1) \cdot \Delta t_0 + \frac{(k-1) \cdot (k+2)}{2} \cdot \Delta. \]

So, we have

\[ \Delta t_0 = \frac{T - \frac{(k-1) \cdot (k+2)}{2} \cdot \Delta}{k+1}. \]

Substituting the resulting optimal values \(t_i\) into the corresponding expression for \(d_k(t_1, \ldots, t_k)\), we can find the value \(D_k\) for each \(k\) and thus, find the optimal number of disruptions \(k\).
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