

## The Absolute Continuity of a Family of Self-Similar Measures

Qirong Deng \*

Department of Mathematics, Fujian Normal University  
 Fujian, 350007, P.R.China

(Received 1 September 2007, accepted 7 March 2008)

**Abstract.** For a class of self-similar measures defined by iterated function system on the line with equal equal probability weights, the absolute continuity has been proved by using a technique of polynomial. This extends a result of Garsia on infinite Bernulli convolution. Also, this result provides examples of absolutely continuous self-similar measure without weak separation condition.

**Keywords:** absolute continuity; self-similar measures; polynomial

### 1 Introduction

Let  $S_j : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) be contractive maps on the  $d$ -dimensional Euclidian space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e.,

$$|S_j(x) - S_j(y)| \leq r_j |x - y|, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $0 < r_j < 1$  and  $|\cdot|$  is a metric. We call the family  $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^m$  an *iterated function system* (IFS). By making use of the contraction mapping principle, Hutchinson proved the following well known existence and uniqueness theorem [1]: *There exists a unique non-empty compact subset  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that*

$$K = \bigcup_{j=1}^m S_j(K).$$

*Furthermore, if we associate the family with a set of probability weight  $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^m$  (i.e.,  $p_j > 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$ ), then there exists a unique probability measure  $\mu$  supported on  $K$  satisfying*

$$\mu = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \mu \circ S_j^{-1}.$$

We call  $K$  the *invariant set* (or *attractor*) of the IFS,  $\mu$  the *invariant measure* with respect to  $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^m$ .

The first systematic account of IFS seems to be that of Hutchinson [1]. The name of IFS is from Barnsley and Demko [2].

A classical case of IFS is provided in  $\mathbb{R}$  by the maps

$$S_1(x) = \rho x, \quad S_2(x) = \rho(x + 1). \tag{1.1}$$

with  $0 < \rho < 1$ . The self-similar measure, denoted by  $\mu_\rho$ , associated with the weight  $\frac{1}{2}$  has been studied extensively in the context of Bernoulli convolution ([3], [4], [5] and [6]). It is known that if  $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\mu_\rho$  is a Cantor-type measure with Hausdorff dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(\mu_\rho) := \inf\{\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E) : \mu_\rho(E) = 1\} = -\frac{\ln 2}{\ln \rho}.$$

---

\* **Corresponding author.** E-mail address: dengfractal@126.com

If  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\mu_\rho$  is the uniform distribution on  $[0, \frac{1}{1-\rho}]$ . For  $\frac{1}{2} < \rho < 1$ , the situation is completely different: it was a conjecture in the 1930's that such  $\mu_\rho$  should be absolutely continuous. This was disproved by Erdős in [3]; it is then known that if  $\rho = 2^{-\frac{1}{k}}$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ , or for almost all  $\rho$  in  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ ,  $\mu_\rho$  is absolutely continuous ([7], [8]). More fascinate results are known if  $\beta = \rho^{-1}$  is an algebraic integer: Let  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s$  denote the algebraic conjugates of  $\beta$ , then

(a)  $\beta$  is a P.V. number (i.e.,  $|\beta| > 1$  and  $|\beta_j| < 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ) if and only if  $\hat{\mu}_\rho(\xi) \not\rightarrow 0$  as  $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\hat{\mu}_\rho$  is the Fourier's transform of  $\mu_\rho$ . In particular  $\mu_\rho$  is singular [6].

(b) If  $\beta \prod_{|\beta_j| > 1} \beta_j = 2$ , then  $\mu_\rho$  is absolutely continuous. Note that in this case  $|\beta_j| > 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , necessarily [4].

Lau ([5]) introduced a concept, F-number, which is equivalent to that the IFS (1.1) satisfies the finite type condition ([9], [10]). Assuming  $\beta \hat{=} \rho^{-1}$  to be an F-number, Lau studied the  $\alpha$ -mean quadratic variation (m.q.v.) of  $\mu_\rho$  and proved that  $\mu_\rho$  is singular.

For other related topics, please see [11], [12], [13] and [14].

Our main purpose in this paper is to extend the idea of [4] to the IFS

$$S_j(x) = \rho(x + j), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 < \rho < 1, \quad (1.2)$$

and the self-similar measure defined by

$$\mu(\cdot) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{m} \mu \circ S_j^{-1}(\cdot). \quad (1.3)$$

If we let  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n>0}$  be a sequence of identically and independently distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with the common distribution  $P(\varepsilon_i = k) = \frac{1}{m}, k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ . Then  $\mu$  is the distribution of the random variable

$$Y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n \rho^n,$$

so we will consider  $\mu$  as a probability distribution of  $Y$ . Let

$$Y_k = \sum_{n=1}^k \varepsilon_n \rho^n, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N, \dots$$

and let  $D_k = \{y_k^1, y_k^2, \dots, y_k^{N_k}\}$  be the set of all distinct possible values of  $Y_k$ .

Our main theorem is

**Theorem 1.1** *If  $\rho^{-1} \in (1, m)$  is an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial  $p(x)$  such that  $p(0) = m$  and all conjugates of  $\rho^{-1}$  are larger than one in modular, then the  $\mu$  defined in (1.3) is absolutely continuous with a density bounded by*

$$\frac{(m-1)^s}{\prod_{i=1}^s (|\beta_i| - 1)}$$

where  $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s\}$  is the set of conjugates of  $\rho^{-1}$ .

## 2 The Proof Of The Theorem

We first give a sufficient condition for  $\mu$  to be absolutely continuous with a bounded density.

**Lemma 2.1** *If there is a constant  $c > 0$  and  $n_0$  such that*

$$P(Y_n = y_n^i) \leq c \inf_{j:j \neq i} |y_n^i - y_n^j| \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_n\}$  and  $n > n_0$ . Then  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with a density bounded by  $c$ .

**Proof.** Since  $K = [0, \frac{\rho(m-1)}{1-\rho}]$  is an interval, it has non singleton. Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{i \neq j} |y_n^i - y_n^j| = 0$  and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(Y_n = y_n^i) = 0, \quad \forall i. \quad (2.2)$$

For any given interval  $(a, b]$ , let

$$a < y_n^{i_1} < y_n^{i_2} < \cdots < y_n^{i_p} \leq b.$$

be the set of all the possible values of  $Y_n$  belonging to  $(a, b]$ . Then the assumption (2.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} c(b-a) &> c(y_n^{i_p} - y_n^{i_{p-1}}) + c(y_n^{i_{p-1}} - y_n^{i_{p-2}}) + \cdots + c(y_n^{i_2} - y_n^{i_1}) \\ &\geq P(Y_n = y_n^{i_p}) + P(Y_n = y_n^{i_{p-1}}) + \cdots + P(Y_n = y_n^{i_2}) \\ &\geq P(Y_n \leq b) - P(Y_n \leq a) - P(Y_n = y_n^{i_1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , then (2.2) and the above inequality imply

$$c(b-a) \geq \mu((a, b]) \geq 0,$$

therefore  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with a density bounded by  $c$ . □

**Lemma 2.2** Let

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) : a_j \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(m-1)\}\},$$

$$m_n(\rho) = \min\left\{\left|\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \rho^j\right| : (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}\right\}.$$

If

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} m_n(\rho) m^n = c > 0,$$

then  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with a density bounded by  $c^{-1}$ .

**Proof.** For any constant  $\delta \in (0, c)$ , the assumption implies that there exist  $n_0$  such that

$$m_n(\rho) > m^{-n} \delta, \quad \forall n > n_0.$$

This means that  $Y_n$  has  $m^n$  distinct possible values and any two distinct possible values  $y_n$  and  $y'_n$  of the random variable  $Y_n$  satisfy

$$|y_n - y'_n| > m^{-n} \delta = \delta P(Y_n = y_n) = \delta P(Y_n = y'_n)$$

when  $n > n_0$ . From Lemma 2.1, we see that  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with a density bounded by  $\delta^{-1}$ .

Therefore,  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with a density bounded by  $c^{-1}$ . □

**Remark.** Since all possible values of  $Y_n$  are in  $[0, \frac{\rho(m-1)}{1-\rho})$  and  $Y_n$  has at most  $m^n$  different possible values. Note the definition of  $m_n(\rho)$ , we see that

$$m^n m_n(\rho) \leq \frac{\rho(m-1)}{1-\rho} < +\infty.$$

In the following, we will prove Theorem 1.1 by several lemmas.

We first prove some results about algebraic integers. For a polynomial  $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j$ , we call  $\max\{|a_j|\}$  the height of  $p(x)$ . Let  $\beta$  be an algebraic integer greater than one,  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s$  denote the conjugates of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma$  denote the number of  $i$  such that  $|\beta_i| = 1$ . Then we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3** For an algebraic integer  $\beta > 1$ , if  $\beta$  is not a root of any polynomial with height  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ , then

$$m_n\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \geq \frac{\prod_{|\beta_i| \neq 1} (|\beta_i| - 1)}{n^\sigma(\beta) \prod_{|\beta_i| > 1} |\beta_i|^{n(m-1)s}}. \tag{2.3}$$

**Proof.** First we prove a result about integral polynomials. Let

$$\begin{aligned} l\tau_1 &= x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_s \\ \tau_2 &= \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq s} x_i x_j \\ &\dots \\ \tau_{s+1} &= x_0 x_1 \dots x_s \end{aligned}$$

**Claim.** If an integral polynomial  $f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s)$  is symmetric, then it is also an integral polynomial of  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{s+1}$ .

**Proof of the claim.** For the case  $s = 0$ , it is obviously true. Assume that it is true when  $s < r$ . We consider the case  $s = r$ .

If  $f$  has degree zero, it is obviously true. Assume it is true when  $f$  has degree less than  $q$ . Consider the case that  $f$  has degree  $q$ . Let  $x_s = 0$ , then the inductive assumption implies that there exists an integral polynomial  $g_1$  such that

$$f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, 0) = g_1(\tau_1, 0, \tau_2, 0, \dots, \tau_s, 0),$$

where  $\tau_{i,0} = \tau_i|_{x_s=0}$ . Let

$$f_1(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s) = f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s) - g_1(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_s),$$

then  $f_1$ , which may be zero, is a symmetric polynomial of  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s$  with degree at most  $q$  and  $f_1(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, 0) = 0$ , i.e.  $f_1(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s)$  has a factor  $x_s$ . The fact that  $f_1(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s)$  is symmetric ensures that  $f_1(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s)$  has a factor  $\tau_{s+1}$ . Let  $f_1(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s) = \tau_{s+1}f_2(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s)$ , then  $f_2(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s)$  is also a symmetric integral polynomial of  $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s$  with degree less than  $q$ . Hence our inductive assumption implies that there exists an integral polynomial  $g_2$  of  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{s+1}$  such that

$$f_2(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s) = g_2(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{s+1}).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s) &= g_1(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{s+1}) + f_1(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s) \\ &= g_1(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{s+1}) + \tau_{s+1}g_2(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{s+1}) \end{aligned}$$

is an integral polynomial of  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{s+1}$ . The claim is proven.

For any  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(m-1)\}$ , let  $p(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x^{n-j}$  and  $\beta = \beta_0$ . Since  $\varphi(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s) \hat{=} p(x_0)p(x_1) \dots p(x_s)$  is a symmetric integral polynomial, so the above claim indicates that  $\varphi(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s)$  is an integral polynomial of  $\sum_{i=0}^s \beta_i, \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq s} \beta_i \beta_j, \dots, \beta_0 \beta_1 \dots \beta_s$ .

On the other hand, the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  is  $\prod_{i=0}^s (x - \beta_i)$ , its coefficients are  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_s, \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq s} \beta_i \beta_j, \dots, \beta_0 \beta_1 \dots \beta_s$ , respectively. Hence  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_s, \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq s} \beta_i \beta_j, \dots, \beta_0 \beta_1 \dots \beta_s$  are all integers. Therefore

$$\varphi(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) \hat{=} p(\beta_0)p(\beta_1) \dots p(\beta_s)$$

is an integer. Using the assumption gives that  $p(\beta_0) \neq 0$  and  $p(\beta_j) \neq 0$ , so

$$|p(\beta_0)p(\beta_1) \cdots p(\beta_s)| \geq 1. \quad (2.4)$$

For every  $\beta_i$ , we always have

$$|p(\beta_i)| \leq (m-1)(1 + |\beta_i| + \cdots + |\beta_i|^{n-1})$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} l \frac{m-1}{1-|\beta_i|} & \text{if } |\beta_i| < 1 \\ n(m-1) & \text{if } |\beta_i| = 1 \\ \frac{(m-1)|\beta_i|^n}{|\beta_i|-1} & \text{if } |\beta_i| > 1 \end{cases}$$

Combining the above inequality and (2.4) gives that

$$p(\beta) \geq \frac{\prod_{|\beta_i| \neq 1} (|\beta_i| - 1)}{n^\sigma \left( \prod_{|\beta_i| > 1} |\beta_i| \right)^n (m-1)^s}.$$

Therefore

$$m_n\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) = \beta^{-n} \min\{p(\beta)\}$$

$$\geq \frac{\prod_{|\beta_i| \neq 1} (|\beta_i| - 1)}{n^\sigma \left( \beta \prod_{|\beta_i| > 1} |\beta_i| \right)^n (m-1)^s},$$

the lemma follows.  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $p(x)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$ . Since  $p(0) = m$ ,  $\beta$  is not a root of any polynomial with height less than  $m$ . Hence Lemma 2.3 implies that the inequality (2.3) holds for all  $n$ .

Since all conjugates of  $\rho^{-1}$  are larger than one in modular, i.e.  $|\beta_i| > 1$  for all  $i$ , so

$$m_n\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)m^n \geq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s (|\beta_i| - 1)}{(m-1)^s}.$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.2 implies that  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with a density bounded by  $\frac{(m-1)^s}{\prod_{i=1}^s (|\beta_i| - 1)}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. E. Hutchinson: Fractal and self similarity. *Indian Univ Math J* . 30: 713-747 (1981)
- [2] M. F. Barnsley , S G Demko: Iterated function systems and the global construction of fractals. *Proc Roy Soc London Ser A* . 399: 243-275 (1985)
- [3] P. Erdős: On a family of symmetric Bernoulli convolutions. *Amer J Math*. 61: 974-976 (1939)
- [4] A. Garsia: Arithmetic properties of Bernoulli's convolutions. *Tran Amer Math Soc* . 102: 409-432 (1962)
- [5] K. S. Lau: Dimension of a family of singular Bernoulli convolutions, *J Funct Anal* . 116:335-358 (1993)

- [6] R. Salem: Algebraic numbers and Fourier transformations. *Heath Math. Monographs, Boston* 1962
- [7] P. Erdős: On the smoothness properties of a family of Bernoulli convolutions. *Amer. J. Math.* 62: 180-186 (1940)
- [8] B. Solomayak: On the random series  $\sum \pm \lambda^n$  (an Erdős problem). *Ann of Math.* 142: 611-625 (1995)
- [9] S. M. Ngai , Y. Wang: Hausdorff dimension of self-similar sets with overlaps. *J London Math Soc.* 63(2): 655-672 (2001)
- [10] Q. R. Deng, K. S. Lau , S. M. Ngai: A note on the finite type condition. *Inpress*
- [11] Q. Guo , H. Jiang , L. Xi: Hausdorff Dimension of Generalized Sierpinski Carpet. *International Journal of Nonlinear Science.* 2(3):153-158(2006)
- [12] Q. Guo: Hausdorff Dimension of Level Set Related to Symbolic System. *International Journal of Nonlinear Science.* 2(3):63-67(2007)
- [13] M. Dai , X. Liu: Lipschitz Equivalence Between Two Sierpinski Gasketse. *International Journal of Nonlinear Science.* 2(2):77-82(2006)
- [14] X. Chen, Q. Guo, L. Xi: The Range of an Affine Fractal Interpolation Function. *International Journal of Nonlinear Science.* 3(3):181-186(2007)