

Global Conservative Solutions of the Two-Component Camassa-Holm Shallow Water System

Yujuan Wang*, Jincun Huang, Lele Chen
 Nonlinear Scientific Research Center, Jiangsu University
 Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, 212013, P.R. China
 (Received 7 February 2010, accepted 25 April 2010)

Abstract: In this paper, we show that the two-component Camassa-Holm equation possesses a global continuous semigroup of weak conservative solutions for initial data. The result is obtained by introducing a new set of independent and dependent variables, this system is transformed into a semilinear system, whose solutions are obtained as fixed points of a contractive transformation. To characterize conservative solutions it is necessary to show that the total energy equals a constant, for almost every time.

Keywords: two-component Camassa-Holm system; global solutions ; conservative solutions

1 Introduction

We consider in this paper the following integrable two-component Camassa-Holm shallow water system [1]:

$$\begin{cases} m_t - Au + 2u_x m + um_x + \rho \rho_x = 0, & t > 0, x \in R, \\ m = u - u_{xx}, & t > 0, x \in R, \\ \rho_t + (u\rho)_x = 0, & t > 0, x \in R. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where the variable $u(t, x)$ describes the horizontal velocity of the fluid and the variable $\rho(t, x)$ is in connection with the free surface elevation from equilibrium (or scalar density) with the boundary assumptions, $u \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho \rightarrow 1$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. The system (1) is integrable [1] in the sense that it can be written as a compatibility condition of two linear systems (Lax pair) with a spectral parameter ζ : $\Psi_{xx} = (-\zeta^2 \rho^2 + \zeta(m - \frac{A}{2}) + \frac{1}{4}) \Psi$, $\Psi_t = (\frac{1}{2\zeta} - u) \Psi_x + \frac{1}{2} u_x \Psi$. It has a bi-Hamiltonian structure corresponding to the Hamiltonian $H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int (um + (\rho - 1)^2) dx$ and the Hamiltonian $H_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int (u(\rho - 1)^2 + 2u(\rho - 1) + u^3 + uu_x^2 - Au^2) dx$. There are two Casimirs: $\int (\rho - 1) dx$ and $\int m dx$.

The system (1) with nonzero constant vorticity was rigorously justified by Ivanov [2] to approximate the governing equations for shallow water waves and the system (1) without vorticity was also rigorously justified by Constantin and Ivanov [1]. A modified two-component Camassa-Holm system which possesses singular solutions in component ρ was proposed recently by Holm, Nraigh and Tronci in [3]. The mathematical properties of (1) have been also studied further in many works. For example, local well-posedness for system (1) with initial data $(u_0, \rho_0) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$ with $s \geq 2$ and some precise blow-up scenarios for strong solutions were investigated in [4]. Gui and Liu [5] recently obtained results of local well-posedness in the Besov spaces. Guan and Yin [6] studied global existence and blow-up phenomena for the system (1) with initial data $(u_0, \rho_0) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$ with $s > \frac{5}{2}$.

For $\rho \equiv 0$, Eq. (1) becomes the classical Camassa-Holm equation. It is known that the Camassa-Holm equation possesses a global continuous semigroup of weak conservative solutions for initial data $u_0 \in H^1$ [7]. The goal of this paper is to investigate whether or not the system (1) with $\sigma = 1$ has global conservative solutions as the classical Camassa-Holm equation in Sobolev space $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ for $s > \frac{3}{2}$. We first introduce a new set of independent and dependent variables in connection with smooth solutions. In these new variables, the evolution equation becomes semilinear. We can obtain a local solution as the fixed point of a contractive transformation. Solutions of the equivalent semilinear system can be globally extended in time, even after wave breaking. As their total energy is a.e. equal to a constant with respect to time, the solutions that we obtain are conservative. These will be analyzed in a forthcoming paper.

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: iamwyj123456789@163.com

2 An equivalent semilinear system to the two-component Camassa-Holm equation

The two-component Camassa-Holm shallow water system can be recast in the nonlocal conservation law:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_x + \partial_x (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \left(u^2 + \frac{u_x^2}{2} + \frac{\rho^2}{2} - Au \right) = 0 \\ \rho_t + (u\rho)_x = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

with $m = u - u_{xx}$. Notice that if $p(x) := \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|}$, $x \in R$, then $p * f = (1 - \partial^2)^{-1} f$ for all $f \in L^2$. Using this identity, Eq. (2) takes the form of a quasi-linear evolution equation:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_x + \partial_x p * \left(u^2 + \frac{u_x^2}{2} + \frac{\rho^2}{2} - Au \right) = 0 \\ \rho_t + (u\rho)_x = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

We consider the following form of the two-component Camassa-Holm shallow water system in this paper:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_x + P_x = 0 \\ \rho_t + (u\rho)_x = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

with initial data

$$\begin{cases} u(0, x) = \bar{u}(x), & x \in R, \\ \rho(0, x) = \bar{\rho}(x), & x \in R. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Here the source term P is defined as $P = p * \left(u^2 + \frac{u_x^2}{2} + \frac{\rho^2}{2} - Au \right) = (1 - \partial^2)^{-1} \left(u^2 + \frac{u_x^2}{2} + \frac{\rho^2}{2} - Au \right) \in H^1(R)$. To make sense of the source term P , at each time t we require that the function u in the space $H^1(R)$ with derivative $u_x \in L^2(R)$ and ρ in the space $L^2(R)$ are absolutely continuous, endowed with the norm $\|u\|_{H^1} = \left(\int_R [u^2 + u_x^2] dx \right)^{1/2}$.

Definition 1 By a solution $z = (u, \rho)$ of the Cauchy problem (4)-(5) on $[t_1, t_2]$, we define function $u = u(t, x)$ on $[t_1, t_2] \times R$ is H^1 -der continuous with the following properties. At each fixed t we have $u(t, \cdot) \in H^1(R)$. Moreover, the map $t \rightarrow u(t, \cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous from $[t_1, t_2]$ into $L^2(R)$, satisfying the initial condition (5).

Differentiating the first equation in (4) with respect to x and using the identity $f = u^2 + \frac{u_x^2}{2} + \frac{\rho^2}{2} - Au$, we have

$$u_{xt} + uu_{xx} + u_x^2 + P_x - \left(u^2 + \frac{u_x^2}{2} + \frac{\rho^2}{2} - Au \right)_x = 0. \quad (6)$$

Multiplying the first equation in (4) by u and the second by ρ , and multiplying (6) by u_x , we obtain the following conservation laws with source term

$$\left(\frac{u^2}{2} \right)_t + \left(\frac{u^3}{3} + uP \right)_x - u_x P = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\left(\frac{u_x^2}{2} \right)_t + \left(\frac{uu_x^2}{2} - \frac{u^3}{3} + \frac{A}{2}u^2 \right)_x - \frac{u_x \rho^2}{2} + u_x P = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right)_t + u_x \rho^2 + u \rho \rho_x = 0. \quad (9)$$

valid for smooth solutions. Then, by adding up (7),(8),(9) and subsequently integrating with respect to the x -variable, we can obtain that the total energy

$$E(t) = \int_R u^2(t, x) + u_x^2(t, x) + \rho^2(t, x) dx \quad (10)$$

is constant in time. Notice that

$$|p * u| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|p\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\rho\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} E(0). \quad (11)$$

From the above bound on the total energy and (11) we have

$$\|P(t)\|_{L^\infty}, \|P_x(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \left\| \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|} \right\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \left\| u^2 + \frac{u_x^2}{2} + \frac{\rho^2}{2} \right\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{1}{2} E(0). \quad (12)$$

In the following we will introduce an equivalent semilinear system. Let $\bar{z} = (\bar{u}, \bar{\rho}) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$, $s > \frac{3}{2}$ be the initial data. Consider now the following initial problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q(t, \xi) = u(t, q(t, \xi)), & t \in [0, T], \\ q(0, \xi) = \bar{q}(\xi), & x \in R. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

where u denotes the first component of the solution z to Eq. (14) remains Lipschitz continuous for $t \in [0, T]$, and let the non-decreasing map $\xi \mapsto \bar{q}(\xi)$ be defined by setting

$$\int_0^{\bar{q}(\xi)} (1 + \bar{u}_x^2) dx = \xi \tag{14}$$

then with the independent variables (t, ξ) , we derive an equivalent system of equations. Moreover, we write $u(t, \xi) = u(t, q(t, \xi))$, $\rho(t, \xi) = \rho(t, q(t, \xi))$, $P(t, \xi) = P(t, q(t, \xi))$, $P_x(t, \xi) = P_x(t, q(t, \xi))$. We will further use the following variables: $v = v(t, \xi)$ and $w = w(t, \xi)$ defined as

$$v = 2 \arctan u_x, w = (1 + u_x^2) \cdot \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}, \tag{15}$$

with $u_x(t, \xi) = u_x(t, q(t, \xi))$. Remarkably v is defined up to multiples of 2π (All subsequent equations involving are invariant under addition of multiples of 2π). And we know that $w(0, \xi) \equiv 1$. Applying classical results in the new variables, we can obtain some results as following:

$$\begin{aligned} P(t, \xi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-|q(t, \xi) - q(t, \xi')|} (u^2(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} u_x^2(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \rho^2(t, x) - Au(t, x)) dx, \\ P_x(t, \xi) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{q(t, \xi)}^{+\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{q(t, \xi)} \right) e^{-|q(t, \xi) - q(t, \xi')|} (u^2(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} u_x^2(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \rho^2(t, x) - Au(t, x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

In the above formulae, we use the change of variables $y = q(t, \xi)$, $x = q(t, \xi')$, and write the convolution as an integral over the variable ξ' . We also obtain an expression for P and P_x in terms of the new variable ξ , namely

$$P(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp \left\{ - \left| \int_{\xi}^{\xi'} \cos^2 \frac{v(s)}{2} \cdot w(s) ds \right| \right\} \cdot \left((u^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 - Au) \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \frac{v}{2} \right) (\xi') w(\xi') d\xi', \tag{16}$$

$$P_x(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\xi}^{+\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} \right) \exp \left\{ - \left| \int_{\xi}^{\xi'} \cos^2 \frac{v(s)}{2} \cdot w(s) ds \right| \right\} \cdot \left((u^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 - Au) \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \frac{v}{2} \right) (\xi') w(\xi') d\xi'. \tag{17}$$

By (4) and (13), the evolution equation for u in the new variables (t, ξ) takes the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, \xi) = u_t + uu_x = -P_x(t, \xi) \tag{18}$$

with P_x given at (17). Using (13), (15) and (6), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(t, \xi) = \frac{2}{1+u_x^2} (u_{xt} + uu_{xx}) = \frac{2}{1+u_x^2} \left(-\frac{u_x^2}{2} + u^2 - P + \frac{\rho^2}{2} - Au \right) = 2 \left(u^2 - P + \frac{\rho^2}{2} - Au \right) \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{v}{2}, \tag{19}$$

and from (13), (15) and (8), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} w(t, \xi) = (2u^2 + \rho^2 + 1 - 2P - 2Au) \frac{u_x}{1+u_x^2} \cdot w = \left(u^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - P - Au \right) \sin v \cdot w. \tag{20}$$

In the above (19) and (20), the function P is computed by (16).

3 Global solutions of the semilinear system

Suppose initial data $\bar{z} = (\bar{u}, \bar{\rho}) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$, $s > \frac{3}{2}$ be given. We consider the corresponding Cauchy problem with the variables (u, ρ, v, w) in the form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -P_x, \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\tan \frac{v}{2} \cdot \rho, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \left(u^2 - P + \frac{\rho^2}{2} - Au \right) (1 + \cos v) - \sin^2 \frac{v}{2} \cdot w, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \left(u^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - P - Au \right) \sin v \cdot w. \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

With initial data

$$\begin{cases} u(0, \xi) = \bar{u}(\bar{q}(\xi)), \\ \rho(0, \xi) = \bar{\rho}(\bar{q}(\xi)), \\ v(0, \xi) = 2 \arctan \bar{u}_x(\bar{q}(\xi)), \\ w(0, \xi) = 1. \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

Here P, P_x are given by (16)-(17) as functions of u, ρ, v, w and ξ . We regard (21) as an ordinary differential equation in the Banach space $X = H^1(R) \times L^2(R) \times [L^2(R) \cap L^\infty(R)] \times L^\infty(R)$ with norm $\|(u, \rho, v, w)\|_X = \|u\|_{H^1} + \|\rho\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^2} + \|w\|_{L^\infty}$.

Lemma 1 Suppose that $\bar{z} = (\bar{u}, \bar{\rho}) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}, s > \frac{3}{2}$. Then there exist $T = T(\|\bar{z}\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}})$ and a unique solution $z = (u, \rho) \in C([0, T]; H^s \times H^{s-1}) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{s-1} \times H^{s-2})$ of (4) with $z(0) = \bar{z}$. Moreover, the solution u depends continuously on the initial value \bar{z} and the maximal time of existence $T > 0$ is independent of s .

Lemma 2 let $\bar{z} = (\bar{u}, \bar{\rho}) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}, s > \frac{3}{2}$, then the corresponding solution z to (4) satisfies (i) $\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|\bar{u}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\bar{\rho} - 1\|_{L^2}^2), \forall t \in [0, T)$, (ii) $\|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} e^{C \int_0^t \|\partial_x u\|_{L^\infty} d\tau}, \forall t \in [0, T)$.

Lemma 3 Given $\bar{z} = (\bar{u}, \bar{\rho}) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}, s > \frac{3}{2}$, there exists a unique solution defined on some small time interval $[0, T]$ with $T > 0$ for the Cauchy problem (21)-(22).

Theorem 4 Let $\bar{z} = (\bar{u}, \bar{\rho}) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$ be as in lemma 3 with $s > \frac{3}{2}$, then there exists a unique global solution defined for all $t \geq 0$ for the Cauchy problem (21)-(22).

Proof. To ensure that the local solution of (21) constructed above can be extended to a global solution, it is necessary to show that the quantity $\|u\|_{H^1} + \|\rho(t)\|_{L^2} + \|v(t)\|_{L^2} + \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \frac{1}{w(t)} \right\|$ remains uniformly bounded on any bounded time interval. Then, we re-derive the energy conservation property in terms of the new variables (u, ρ, v, w) and ξ .

Notice that $u_\xi = \frac{w}{2} \sin v$, we claim that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\xi t} &= \left(\frac{w}{2} \sin v\right)_t = \frac{w_t}{2} \sin v + \frac{w}{2} v_t \cos v \\ &= \frac{w}{2} \left[\left(u^2 + \frac{1}{2} - P + \frac{\rho^2}{2}\right) \sin^2 v + \left(u^2 - P + \frac{\rho^2}{2}\right) \cos v + \left(u^2 - P + \frac{\rho^2}{2}\right)^2 \cos v - \cos v \cdot \sin^2 \frac{v}{2} \right] \\ &= w \left[\left(u^2 - P + \frac{\rho^2}{2}\right) \cdot \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \frac{v}{2} \right] = w \left(u^2 \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} - P \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} + \frac{\rho^2}{2} \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \frac{v}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Since the right-hand sides of (23) is Lipschitz continuous, we infer that u_ξ remains valid for all times $t \geq 0$, as long as the solution of (21) is defined.

From (21) we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int_R \left[u^2 \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{v}{2} + (\rho - 1)^2 \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} \right] w d\xi \\ &= \int_R w \left\{ 2 \sin \frac{v}{2} \cos \frac{v}{2} \left[u^2 \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{v}{2} + (\rho - 1)^2 \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} \right] \left(u^2 + \frac{1}{2} - P + \frac{\rho^2}{2} \right) - 2uP_x \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2(\rho - 1) \tan \frac{v}{2} \cdot \rho \cdot \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} + \sin \frac{v}{2} \cdot \cos \frac{v}{2} \cdot \left[1 - u^2 - (\rho - 1)^2 \right] \left[2 \left(u^2 - P + \frac{\rho^2}{2} \right) \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} - \sin \frac{v}{2} \right] \right\} d\xi \\ &= \int_R w \left\{ -2P \sin \frac{v}{2} \cdot \cos \frac{v}{2} - 2uP_x \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} + 3u^2 \sin \frac{v}{2} \cdot \cos \frac{v}{2} \right\} d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

On the other hand, in the sense of $P_\xi = wP_x \cos^2 \frac{v}{2}, (uP)_\xi = u_\xi P + uP_\xi = w(P \sin \frac{v}{2} \cdot \cos \frac{v}{2} + uP_x \cos^2 \frac{v}{2})$, and $(u^3)_\xi = 3u^2 u_\xi = 3w u^2 \sin \frac{v}{2} \cdot \cos \frac{v}{2}$, the following identity can be observed

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_R \left[u^2 \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{v}{2} + (\rho - 1)^2 \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} \right] w d\xi = \int_R \partial_\xi (u^3 - 2uP) d\xi = 0. \tag{25}$$

In terms of the new variables the total energy (10) can be rewritten as follows. According to (25), this energy remains constant in time,

$$E(t) = \int_R \left(u^2(t, \xi) \cos^2 \frac{v(t, \xi)}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{v(t, \xi)}{2} + (\rho - 1)^2 \cos^2 \frac{v(t, \xi)}{2} \right) w(t, \xi) d\xi = E(0) = E_0. \tag{26}$$

From (26) it follows that

$$\|P(t)\|_{L^\infty}, \|P_x(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \left\| \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|} \right\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \left\| u^2(t) + \frac{u_x^2(t)}{2} + \frac{\rho^2(t)}{2} \right\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{1}{2} E_0. \tag{27}$$

The estimate (12) has been recovered, working in the new variables.

As long as the solution is defined, from the first equation in (21), we deduce that,

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_R u^2(t, \xi) \right) \right| \leq 2 \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|P_x(t)\|_{L^1}, \text{ and } \left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_R u_\xi^2(t, \xi) \right) \right| \leq 2 \|u_\xi(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_\xi P_x(t)\|_{L^1}.$$

Moreover, the second equation in (21) implies $\|v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq e^{Bt}$, for a suitable constant $B = B(E_0) > 0$. From the third equation in (21), (27) yields

$$|w_t| \leq \left(E_0 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{E_0}{2}\right) w, \quad \exp\left\{-\frac{1+3E_0}{2}t\right\} \leq w(t) \leq \exp\left\{\frac{1+3E_0}{2}t\right\}. \tag{28}$$

We have known that the functions u and u_ξ are uniformly bounded on bounded intervals of time in view of (28). If we call λ the right-hand side of (28), so that $\lambda^- \leq w(t) \leq \lambda^+$. We know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_\xi P(t)\|_{L^1} &\leq \lambda E_0 + \frac{1}{2} \int_R \exp\left\{-\left|\int_\xi^{\xi'} \lambda^- \cos^2 \frac{v(t,s)}{2} ds\right|\right\} \\ &\cdot \left[u^2(t, \xi') \cos^2 \frac{v(t,\xi)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \frac{v(t,\xi)}{2} + \frac{\rho^2(t,\xi')}{2} \cos^2 \frac{v(t,\xi)}{2} \right] \lambda d\xi' \leq \lambda E_0 + \|\Lambda\|_{L^1} \cdot \lambda E_0. \end{aligned}$$

Where $\Lambda(\zeta) = \min\left\{1, \exp\left(18E_0\lambda^{-1} - \frac{|\zeta|}{2}\lambda^{-1}\right)\right\}$, $\|\Lambda\|_{L^1} = \left(\int_{|\zeta| \leq 36E_0} + \int_{|\zeta| \geq 36E_0}\right) \Lambda(\zeta) d\zeta = 72E_0 + \frac{4}{\lambda}$.

The estimate for $\|P_x\|_{L^1}$ is entirely similar. This establishes the boundedness of the norm $\|u(t)\|_{H^1}$ for t in bounded intervals. The third equation in (21) also implies $\frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{L^2} \leq 2 \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^2} + 2 \|P\|_{L^2} + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{4} \|v\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2}$. Then $\|v\|_{L^2}$ remains bounded on bounded intervals of time. This completes the proof that the solution of (21) can be extended globally in time.

4 Global solutions to the two-component Camassa-Holm equation

In this section we will show that the global solution of the system (21) yields a global conservative solution to the two-component Camassa-Holm equation (4), in the original variables (t, x) .

We begin with a global solution (u, ρ, v, w) to (21). Define

$$q(t, \xi) = \bar{q}(\xi) + \int_0^t u(\tau, \xi) d\tau. \tag{29}$$

For each fixed ξ , the function $t \mapsto q(t, \xi)$ provides a solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} q(t, \xi) = u(t, \xi), \quad q(0, \xi) = \bar{q}(\xi). \tag{30}$$

We claim that a solution of (4) can be obtained by setting

$$u(t, x) = u(t, \xi), \text{ if } q(t, \xi) = x \tag{31}$$

Lemma 5 *let $\bar{z} = (\bar{u}, \bar{\rho}) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}, s > \frac{3}{2}$, and (u, ρ, v, w) provide a global solution to the Cauchy problem (13)-(14). Then we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_\xi(t, \xi) = u_\xi(t, \xi) \tag{32}$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and a.e. $\xi \in R$.

Proof. Notice that $q_\xi = w \cos^2 \frac{v}{2}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and a.e. $\xi \in R$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_\xi(t, \xi) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(w \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} \right) (t, \xi) = -w \cdot \cos \frac{v}{2} \cdot \sin \frac{v}{2} \cdot v_t + w_t \cdot \cos^2 \frac{v}{2} \\ &= w \cos \frac{v}{2} \cdot \sin^3 \frac{v}{2} - 2w \left(u^2 - P + \frac{\rho^2}{2} \right) \cos^3 \frac{v}{2} \cdot \sin \frac{v}{2} + 2w \left(u^2 - P + \frac{\rho^2-1}{2} \right) \sin \frac{v}{2} \cdot \cos^3 \frac{v}{2} \\ &= w \cos \frac{v}{2} \cdot \sin^3 \frac{v}{2} + w \cos^3 \frac{v}{2} \cdot \sin \frac{v}{2} = w \sin \frac{v}{2} \cdot \cos \frac{v}{2} = w \cdot \frac{\sin v}{2} = u_\xi(t, \xi). \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Theorem 6 *Suppose (u, ρ, v, w) provide a global solution to the Cauchy problem (13)-(14). Then the function $u = u(t, x)$ defined by (29)-(31) provides a global solution to the initial value problem (4)-(5) for the two-component Camassa-Holm equation. Moreover, the energy is almost always conserved, namely*

$$\|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\rho - 1\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\bar{u}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\bar{\rho} - 1\|_{L^2}^2 \tag{34}$$

for a.e. $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Using the uniform bound $|u(t, \xi)| \leq E_0^{1/2}$, from (29) it is clear that $\bar{q}(\xi) - E_0^{1/2}t \leq q(t, \xi) \leq \bar{q}(\xi) + E_0^{1/2}t$, $t \geq 0$. In view of the definition of ξ at (14), we can obtain $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty} \bar{q}(t, \xi) = \pm\infty$. Then the image of the continuous map $(t, \xi) \rightarrow (t, q(t, \xi))$ is the entire plane R^2 . It is clear that the map $\xi \rightarrow q(t, \xi)$ is non-decreasing. This proves that the map $(t, x) \rightarrow u(t, x)$ at (31) is well defined, for all $(t, x) \rightarrow u(t, x)$ and $x \in R$.

Next, for every fixed t , from (26) we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_R \left(u^2(t, x) + u_x^2(t, x) + (\rho - 1)^2 \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\{\cos v > -1\}} \left(u^2(t, \xi) \cos^2 \frac{v(t, \xi)}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{v(t, \xi)}{2} + (\rho - 1)^2(t, \xi) \cos^2 \frac{v(t, \xi)}{2} \right) w(t, \xi) d\xi \leq E_0. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

By a Sobolev inequality [8], this implies the uniform Holder continuity with exponent $\frac{1}{2}$ of u as a function of x . From the first equation in (21) and the bound $\|P_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{E_0}{2}$, we obtain that the map $t \mapsto u(t, q(t))$ is uniformly Lipschitz continuous along every characteristic curve $t \mapsto q(t)$. Therefore, $u = u(t, x)$ is globally Holder continuous on the entire (t, x) half plane. This completes the proof that $z = (u, \rho)$ is a global solution of the two-component Camassa-Holm equation in the sense of Definition 1.

Acknowledgments

Research was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No: 10771088) and the Nature Science Foundation of Jiangsu (No:2007098) and the Outstanding Personnel Program in Six Fields of Jiangsu (No: 6-A-029).

References

- [1] A. Constantin and R. Ivanov. On an integrable two-component Camassa-Holm shallow water system. *Phys. Lett., A* 372 (2008):7129-7132.
- [2] R. Ivanov. Two-component integrable systems modelling shallow water waves: The constant vorticity case. *Wave Motion*, 46 (2009): 389-396.
- [3] D.D. Holm, L. Nraigh and C. Tronci. Singular solutions of a modified two-component Camassa-Holm equation. *Phys. Rev.E*, 79 (2009): 016601.
- [4] J. E., O. Lechtenfeld and Z. Y. Yin. Well-posedness and blow-up phenomena for the 2-component Camassa-Holm equation. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 19 (2007): 493-513.
- [5] G. Gui and Y. Liu. On the Cauchy problem for the two-component Camassa-Holm system. *Math. Z.*, (2009) doi:10.1007/s00209-009-0660-2.
- [6] C. Guan and Z.Y. Yin. Global existence and blow-up phenomena for an integrable two-component Camassa-Holm shallow water system. *J.D.E.*, 248 (2010): 2003-2014.
- [7] A. Bressan and A. Constantin. Global conservative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 183 (2007): 215-239.
- [8] Evans, L.C. and Garipey. R.F.: Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions. CRC Press. Boca Raton, FL, 1992.
- [9] G. Gui and Y. Liu. On the global existence and wave-breaking criteria for the two-component Camassa-Holm system. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 258 (2010): 4251-4278.
- [10] H. Holden and X. Raynaud. Global conservative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation - a Lagrangian point of view. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32 (2007): 1511-1549.
- [11] L. Tian and Y. Wang. Global conservative solutions of the generalized Camassa-Holm equation. *International Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 5 (2008): 195-202.
- [12] Y. Wang and A. Gao. Global conservative solutions of the generalized shallow water wave equation. *International Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 6 (2008): 273-280.