

On the Weak Solution to the General Shallow Water Wave Equation

Lele Chen *,Lixin Tian

Nonlinear Scientific Research Center, Faculty of Science,
 Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, 212013, P.R.China

(Received 24 June 2009, accepted 18 August 2009)

Abstract: In this paper we present some results on the weak solutions to a class of nonlinear dispersive wave equations, named the general shallow water wave equation. We obtain a global weak solution as a limit of viscous approximation under the assumption $u_0 \in H^1(R)$.

Keywords: shallow water wave equation; weak solution; global solution; vanishing viscosity method

1 Introduction

In [1]-[3], Degasperis and Procesi firstly studied the following family of third order dispersive PDE conservation laws

$$u_t + c_0 u_x + \gamma u_{xxx} - \alpha^2 u_{txx} = (c_1 u^2 + c_2 u_x^2 + c_3 u u_{xx})_x, \quad (1)$$

where α, c_0, c_1, c_2 and c_3 are real constants and indices denote partial derivatives. When $c_1 = -\frac{\alpha}{2}$, $c_2 = \frac{\varepsilon(\beta-1)}{2}$, $c_3 = \varepsilon$ and replacing c_0 with k , and α^2 with ε in the equation above, we obtain the following equation

$$(u - \varepsilon u_{xx})_t + k u_x + \alpha u u_x + \gamma u_{xxx} = \varepsilon (\beta u_x u_{xx} + u u_{xxx}), \quad x \in R, t > 0, \quad (2)$$

where $u(x, t)$ stands for the fluid velocity in the x direction (or equivalently the height of the free surface of water above a flat bottom), k is a constant related to the critical shallow water wave speed, and $\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon$ are dispersion parameters. It is necessary to point out that Eq.(1.2) is equivalent to Eq.(1.1) since when $\varepsilon = \alpha^2 = c_3, k = c_0, \alpha = -2c_1$ and $\beta = 1 + \frac{2c_2}{\varepsilon}$, Eq.(1.2) turns out to be Eq.(1.1). To better understand the common properties of the equation (1.1), we resort to study Eq.(1.2), which is convenient for us to research. We call it *the general shallow water wave equation*.

There are at least three famous equations that satisfy the completely integrability condition within this family: KdV equation (see [4]), Camassa-Holm equation (see [5][16]), and Degasperis-Procesi equation (see [1]-[3]). Besides, Eq.(1.2) includes the b -family of equations (see [7]-[9]) and Fornberg-Whitham equation (see [10]) as special case but they are not completely integrable. The b -family of equations cannot be completely integrable unless $b = 2$ or $b = 3$, i.e., there are only two equations satisfying the completely integrability condition within the peakon b -family of equations: Camassa-Holm equation and Degasperis-Procesi equation.

For $\varepsilon = 0$ in Eq.(1.2), we obtain the KdV equation

$$u_t + k u_x + \alpha u u_x + \gamma u_{xxx} = 0$$

and for $\alpha = 3, \beta = 2, \gamma = 0$ in Eq.(1.2), we obtain the Camassa-Holm equation

* **Corresponding author.** E-mail address: tianlx@ujs.edu.cn

$$(u - \varepsilon u_{xx})_t + ku_x + 3uu_x = \varepsilon(2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}).$$

Both of them describe unidirectional shallow water waves. Moreover, all these equations have a bi-Hamiltonian structure, they are completely integrable, they have infinitely many conserved quantities. From a mathematical point of view the Camassa-Holm equation is well studied, see [11] for an extensive list of references. In particular, we recall that existence and uniqueness results for global weak solutions have been proved by Coclite et al. [11], Constantin and Escher [12], and Xin and Zhang [13], see also Danchin [14] as well as others.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follow:

In Section 2, we give the precise statements of the main results. Section 3 deals with the viscous approximate solutions and the basic energy estimate on u_ε . The uniform one-sided supernorm estimate and the local space-time higher integrability estimate on $\partial_x u_\varepsilon$ besides the compactness of the viscous approximate solution u_ε are given in Section 4. In Section 5, we examine the asymptotic behavior of the solution $u(t, x)$ to Eq.(1.2).

Notation 1 We shall use the standard notation $|\cdot|_p$ for the norm of the space $L^p(R)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, i.e., $|f|_p = (\int_R |f|^p dx)^{1/p}$. The space $L^\infty = L^\infty(R)$ consists of all essentially bounded, Lebesgue measurable functions with the standard norm

$$|f|_\infty = |f|_{L^\infty} = \inf_{m(e)=0} \sup_{x \in R \setminus e} |f(x)|.$$

And we denote the norm in the Sobolev space $H^s = H^s(R)$ by

$$\|f\|_s = \|f\|_{H^s} = (\int_R (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |f(\hat{\xi})|^2 d\xi)^{1/2}$$

for $s \in R$. Here $f(\hat{\xi})$ is the Fourier transform of $f(x)$.

2 Main results

Before giving the precise statements of the main results, we introduce the definition of a weak solution to the Cauchy problem (1.2):

Definition 1 A continuous function $u = u(t, x)$ is said to be a global weak solution to the Cauchy problem (1.2) if

(1) $u(t, x) \in C([0, \infty) \times R) \cap L^\infty(R_+, H^1(R))$ and

$$\|u\|_{H^1(R)} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^1(R)}, \forall t > 0, \tag{3}$$

(2) $u(t, x)$ satisfies Eq.(1.2) in the sense of distributions and takes on the initial data pointwise.

Theorem 2 Suppose $u_0 \in H^1(R)$. Then the Cauchy problem (1.2) has an admissible weak solution $u = u(t, x)$ in the sense of Definition 1. Furthermore, the weak solution $u(t, x)$ satisfies the following properties:

(1) (Oleinik type estimate) There exists a positive constant C depending only on $\|u_0\|_{H^1(R)}$ such that

$$\partial_x u(t, x) \leq \frac{1}{t} + C, \forall t > 0, \tag{4}$$

(2) $P(t, x) \in L^\infty(R_+, W^{1,\infty}(R))$ and $\partial_x u(t, x) \in L^p_{loc}(R_+ \times R)$ for any $p < 3$; i.e., for any $0 < T, M < +\infty$, there exists a positive constant $C_1 = C_1(T, M, p)$ such that

$$\int_0^T \int_{|x| \leq M} |\partial_x u(t, x)|^p dx dt \leq C_1, \forall p < 3, \tag{5}$$

(3) Assume $u(t, x)$ is of one sign, then $u = u(t, x)$ approaches zero pointwise as $t \rightarrow \infty$; i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |u(t, x)| = 0, \forall x \in R. \tag{6}$$

3 Viscous approximate solutions

In this section, supposing $\varepsilon = 1$ in Eq.(1.2), we construct the approximate solution sequence $u_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon(t, x)$ as solutions to the viscous problem (3.1), i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon \partial_x u_\varepsilon - \gamma \partial_x u_\varepsilon + \partial_x P_\varepsilon = \nu \partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon, \\ P_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-|x-y|} \left[\frac{\alpha-1}{2} u_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{3-\beta}{2} (\partial_x u_\varepsilon)^2 + (k + \gamma) u_\varepsilon \right] (t, y) dy, \\ u_\varepsilon(0, x) = u_{\varepsilon 0}(x). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

The existence, uniqueness, and basic energy estimate on this approximate solution sequence are given in the following lemma:

Lemma 3 *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $u_{\varepsilon 0} \in H^k(R)$ for some $k \geq 2$. Then there exists a unique solution $u_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon(t, x) \in C([0, \infty), H^k(R))$ to the Cauchy problem (3.1). Furthermore, u_ε satisfies*

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(R)} \leq \|u_{\varepsilon 0}\|_{H^1(R)}, \forall t > 0, \quad (8)$$

Proof. For completeness, we outline the main idea of the proof, one can check the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [13] for more details. For the convenience of statement, we will omit the subscript ε in $u_\varepsilon(t, x)$ in the following proof.

First, applying Kato's theorem (see [15]) one can obtain the local well-posedness result that for $u_0 \in H^k(R)$ ($k \geq 2$), there exists a positive constant T such that (3.1) has a unique solution $u = u(t, x) \in C([0, T]; H^k(R)) \cap L^2([0, T]; H^{k+1}(R))$.

Second, we prove that if T is the lifespan of the solution $u(t, x)$ and $T < +\infty$, i.e., $u \in C([0, T]; H^k(R))$, and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^k(R)} = +\infty, T < +\infty, \quad (9)$$

then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} (\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(R)} + \|\partial_x u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(R)}) = +\infty. \quad (10)$$

In fact, it follows from the equation in (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^k}^2 + \nu \|\partial_x u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^k}^2 \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^k \int_R [-\partial_x^l (u \partial_x u) \partial_x^l u + \gamma (\partial_x^{l+1} u) \partial_x^l u - \partial_x^{l+1} P \partial_x^l u](t, x) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality one can conclude that there exists a positive constant C depending only k and ε such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^k}^2 \leq C (\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_x u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} + 1)^2 \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^k}^2.$$

Hence it follows from Gronwall's inequality that (3.3) holds true if and only if (3.4) holds.

Now we show that (3.2) holds on $[0, T)$. In fact, it follows from the equation in (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\partial_x u) + u \partial_x (\partial_x u) &= \nu \partial_x^2 (\partial_x u) - \partial_x^2 P - (\partial_x u)^2 + \gamma \partial_x^2 u \\ &= \nu \partial_x^2 (\partial_x u) - P + \frac{\alpha-1}{2} u^2 + \frac{1-\beta}{2} (\partial_x u)^2 + (k + \gamma) u + \gamma \partial_x^2 u, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

it follows that

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^1}^2 + 2\nu \int_0^t \|\partial_x u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^1}^2 dt = \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2, \forall t \in [0, T), \quad (13)$$

and so

$$\|u\|_{H^1} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^1}, \forall t \in [0, T]. \tag{14}$$

Finally, the global existence solution follows from the a priori estimate and the standard continuation argument, and (3.8) holds on $[0, \infty)$, so the proof of the lemma is completed. ■

4 A priori estimates and precompactness

Let $u_0 \in H^1(R)$ and $u_\varepsilon(t, x)$ be the solution to (3.1) with $u_{\varepsilon 0}(x) = j_\varepsilon * u_0(x)$, where j_ε is the standard Friedrichs mollifier. The long-time existence of $u_\varepsilon(t, x)$ is guaranteed by Lemma 3; furthermore, it satisfies (3.2). To obtain the compactness of this approximate solution sequence $\{u_\varepsilon(t, x)\}$ in $L^2_{loc}(R_+, H^1_{loc}(R))$, a priori estimates in addition to (3.2) are needed.

Proposition 4 ([13]) *There exists a positive constant C depending only on $\|u_0\|_{H^1}$ such that*

$$\partial_x u_\varepsilon(t, x) \leq \frac{1}{t} + C, \forall t > 0, x \in R. \tag{15}$$

Proposition 5 *Let $m = \frac{2k}{2l+1}$ with l, k being positive integers and $l \geq k$. Assume that a, b and T are arbitrarily given finite constants with $a < b$ and $T > 0$. Then there exists a positive constant $C = C(a, b, T, m, \|u_0\|_{H^1})$ independent of ε , such that*

$$\int_0^t \int_a^b |\partial_x u_\varepsilon(t, x)|^{2+m} dx dt \leq C. \tag{16}$$

With the basic energy estimate in Section 3 and the uniform a priori estimates above, we are now ready to obtain the necessary compactness of the viscous approximate solution $u_\varepsilon(t, x)$. We start with the weak compactness in $L^\infty(R_+, H^1(R))$.

Proposition 6 *There exist a subsequence $\{u_{\varepsilon_j}(t, x), P_{\varepsilon_j}(t, x)\}$ of the sequence $\{u_\varepsilon(t, x), P_\varepsilon(t, x)\}$ and some functions $\{u(t, x), P(t, x)\}$, $u \in L^\infty(R_+, H^1(R))$ and $P \in L^\infty(R_+, W^{1,\infty}(R))$, such that*

$$u_{\varepsilon_j} \rightarrow u \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty, \tag{17}$$

uniformly on each compact subset of $R_+ \times R$, and

$$P_{\varepsilon_j} \rightarrow P \quad \text{in } L^q_{loc}(R_+ \times R) \tag{18}$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty, \forall 1 < q < +\infty$.

Next, we show the stronger convergence result, i.e.,

$$\partial_x u_\varepsilon \rightarrow \partial_x u \quad \text{in } L^2_{loc}(R_+ \times R) \tag{19}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, which will guarantee that $u(t, x)$ is a desired weak solution. First, we state a slight variation of the basic result of the theory of Young measures [16-18].

Lemma 7 Let $u_{t,x}(\lambda)$ be the Young measure associated with $\{q_\varepsilon(t, x)\} \equiv \{\partial_x u_\varepsilon(t, x)\}$. Then for any continuous function $f = f(\lambda)$ with $f(\lambda) = o(|\lambda|^r)$ and $\partial_\lambda f(\lambda) = o(|\lambda|^{r-1})$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ and $r < 2$, and for any $\psi \in L^s_c(R)$ with $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{r}{2} = 1$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_R f(q_\varepsilon(t, x)) \psi(x) dx = \int_R \overline{f(q)} \psi(x) dx, \quad (20)$$

uniformly in each compact subset of R_+ , where

$$\overline{f(q)} \triangleq \int_R f(\lambda) d\mu_{t,x}(\lambda) \in C([0, \infty), L^{r'}_{loc}(R)) \quad (21)$$

with $r' \in (r, 2)$. Moreover, for all $T > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^T \int_R g(q_\varepsilon) \varphi dx dt = \int_0^T \int_R \overline{g(q)} \varphi dx dt, \quad (22)$$

and

$$\lambda \in L^l_{loc}(R_+ \times R \times R, dt \otimes dx \otimes d\mu_{t,x}(\lambda)), \quad (23)$$

for all $l < 3$, where $g = g(t, x, \lambda)$ is a continuous function satisfying $g(\lambda) = o(|\lambda|^l)$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ for some $l < 3$, and $\varphi = \varphi(t, x) \in L^m([0, T] \times R)$ with $\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{m} < 1$.

We furthermore give the following lemma.

Lemma 8 Let $u_{t,x}(\lambda)$ be the Young measure given in Lemma 7. Then

$$u_{t,x}(\lambda) = \delta_{\bar{q}(t,x)}(\lambda), \quad (24)$$

for almost all $(t, x) \in R_+ \times R$

Proof. The strategy of the proof of this lemma is quite routine, one can see [13] for the explicit proof and we omit it here. ■

5 Proof of the theorem

With all the preparations given in the previous section, we are now in a position to prove the main results, i.e., Theorem 2. Let $(u, P)(t, x)$ be the limit of the viscous approximate solutions $(u_\varepsilon, P_\varepsilon)(t, x)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. It then follows from Lemma 3, Propositions 4 and 6 that $u \in C([0, \infty) \times R) \cap L^\infty(R_+, H^1(R))$, $P \in L^\infty(R_+, W^{1,\infty}(R))$ and (2.1)-(2.2) hold. Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in (3.1), one can see from Proposition 6 that $(u, P)(t, x)$ will be an admissible weak solution provided that

$$q_\varepsilon \equiv \partial_x u_\varepsilon \rightarrow q = \partial_x u \quad \text{in} \quad L^2_{loc}(R_+ \times R), \quad (25)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. However, (5.1) is now a simple consequence of Lemma 8 and Lemma 7. In fact, it follows (4.6)-(4.7) and Lemma 8 that there exists a subsequence of $\{u_\varepsilon(t, x)\}$, still denoted by itself, such that

$$q_\varepsilon \equiv \partial_x u_\varepsilon \rightarrow q = \partial_x u \quad \text{in} \quad L^{p_1}_{loc}(R_+, L^{p_2}_{loc}(R)) \quad \forall p_1 < \infty, p_2 < 2. \quad (26)$$

This together with Proposition 5 implies

$$q_\varepsilon \equiv \partial_x u_\varepsilon \rightarrow q = \partial_x u \quad \text{in} \quad L^p_{loc}(R_+ \times R) \quad \forall p < 3 \quad (27)$$

by a simple interpolation, which gives (5.1) immediately.

Furthermore, it follows from (5.3) that

$$\partial_x u \in L_{loc}^p(R_+ \times R), \quad \forall p < 3,$$

hence the local space-time higher integrability estimate, i.e., (2.3) holds.

To finish the proof, it remains to investigate the asymptotic behavior of the solution $u(t, x)$. By our assumption that $u(t, x)$ is of one sign, we will consider the nonnegative solution only. The other case is similar. Let $u(t, x) \geq 0$ be an admissible weak solution to Eq.(1.2) with $\varepsilon = 1$. Then for any $t \in R_+$, integrate Eq.(1.2) over $[0, t] \times (-\infty, x]$ to get

$$\int_{-\infty}^x u(t, y) dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t u^2(s, x) ds - \gamma \int_0^t u(s, x) ds + \int_0^t P(s, x) ds = \int_{-\infty}^x u_0(y) dy. \quad (28)$$

Then we have

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(R)} + \frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot, x)\|_{L^2(R_+)} - \gamma \|u(\cdot, x)\|_{L^1(R_+)} + \|P(\cdot, x)\|_{L^1(R_+)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^1(R)}. \quad (29)$$

On the other hand, from the standard estimate on convolution one can get

$$\|\partial_x P(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq C_0 \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2,$$

which shows that

$$\partial_x u \in L^\infty(R_+, L^2(R)).$$

Hence, there exists a subset $N \in R$ with $meas(N) = 0$ such that $\partial_x u \in L^\infty(R_+)$ for all $x \in R \setminus N$. It follows from this and (5.5) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |u(t, x)| = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in R \setminus N. \quad (30)$$

For any $x \in N$, there is a sequence $\{x_j\} \in R \setminus N$, such that $x_j \rightarrow x$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t, x)| &\leq |u(t, x_j)| + |u(t, x) - u(t, x_j)| \\ &\leq |u(t, x_j)| + C_0 |x - x_j|, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |u(t, x)| = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in N. \quad (31)$$

Then (2.4) follows from (5.6) and (5.7). This completes the proof of the Theorem 2.

Acknowledgements

Research was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No.10771088) and Nature Science Foundation of Jiangsu (No. 2007098) and Outstanding Personnel Program in Six Fields of Jiangsu (No. 6-A-029).

References

- [1] A. Degasperis, M. Procesi: Asymptotic integrability, in Symmetry and Perturbation Theory. *World Scientific*. (1999)
- [2] A. Degasperis, D. Holm, A. N.W. Hone: A new integrable equation with peakon solutions. *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.*, 133(2): 170-183(2002)
- [3] A. Degasperis, D. Holm, A. N.W. Hone: Integrable and non-integrable equations with peakons. in: Nonlinear Physics: Theory and Experiment, vol. II, Gallipoli,2002. *World Scientific, River Edge, NJ*. (2003)
- [4] J.L. Bona, R. Smith: The initial-value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.*. 278: 555-601(1975)

- [5] R. Camassa, D. Holm: An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*. 71: 1661-1664(1993)
- [6] J.L. Bona, R. Scott: Solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation in fractional order Sobolev spaces. *Duke Math. J.*. 43: 87-99(1976)
- [7] Boling Guo, Zhengrong Liu: Periodic cusp wave solutions and single-solitons for the b-equation. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*. 23: 1451-1463(2005)
- [8] Lixin Tian, Guilong Gui, Yue Liu: On the Cauchy problem for the generalized shallow water wave equation. *J. Diff. Equ.*. 265(7): 1838-1852(2008)
- [9] Guilong Gui, Yue Liu, Lixin Tian: Global existence and blow-up phenomena for the peakon b-family of equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*. 57(3): 1209-1234(2008)
- [10] Jiangbo Zhou, Lixin Tian: A type of bounded traveling wave solutions for the Fornberg-Whitham equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*. 346: 255-261(2008)
- [11] Coclite G.M., Holden H., Karlsen K.H.: Global weak solutions to a generalized hyperelastic-rod wave equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*. 37: 1044-1069(2005)
- [12] Constantin A., Escher J.: Global weak solutions for a shallow water equation. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*. 47: 1527-1545(1998)
- [13] Zhouping Xin, Ping Zhang: On the weak solutions to a shallow water equation. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*. 53: 1411-1433(2000)
- [14] Danchin R.: A note on well-posedness for Camassa-Holm equation. *J. Diff. Equ.*. 192: 429-444(2003)
- [15] T. Kato: Quasi-linear equations of evolution, with applications to partial differential equations. in: W.N. Everitt (Ed.). Spectral Theory and Differential Equations, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 448: 25-70(1975)
- [16] Ping Zhang: Weak solutions to a nonlinear variational wave equation and some related problems. *Applications of Mathematics*. 4: 427-466(2006)
- [17] Ping Zhang, Yuxi Zheng: Existence and uniqueness of solutions to an asymptotic equation arising from a variational wave equation with general data. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*. 155: 49-83(2000)
- [18] Ping Zhang, Yuxi Zheng: On oscillations of an asymptotic equation of a nonlinear variational wave equation. *Asymptotic Anal.*. 18: 307-327(1998)