

## Boundary Control of the Viscous Generalized Camassa-Holm Equation

Yiping Meng<sup>1,2</sup>, Lixin Tian<sup>2</sup> \*

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics and Physics, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology

<sup>2</sup>Nonlinear Scientific Research Center, Faculty of Science, Jiangsu University

Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, 212013, P.R. China

(Received 2 April 2009, accepted 29 August 2009)

**Abstract:** This paper investigates the boundary control of the viscous generalized Camassa-Holm equation on  $[0, 1]$ . The existence of its solution is proved in a short time interval under the boundary condition. Meanwhile, we prove the global exponential stability of the solution in four different spaces, i.e. in  $L^2, H^1, H^2, H^3$ .

**Keywords:** the viscous generalized Camassa-Holm equation; boundary control; global exponential stability

### 1 Introduction

In [1], Camassa and Holm used Hamiltonian methods to derive a new completely integrable dispersive wave equation for water waves by retaining two terms that are usually neglected in the small amplitude shallow water limit

$$u_t + 2ku_x - u_{xxt} + 3uu_x = 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $u$  is the fluid velocity in the  $x$  direction (or equivalently the height of the water's free surface above a flat bottom),  $k$  is a constant related to the critical shallow water wave speed. They showed that for all  $k$ , (1.1) is integrable, and for  $k = 0$ , (1.1) has traveling solutions of the form  $ce^{-|x-ct|}$ . After that, there has been much research and observations about this equation. Zhengrong Liu and Tifei Qian et al [3] investigate generalized Camassa-Holm (denote GCH) equation, or called modified Camassa-Holm (or denote mCH) equation,

$$u_t + 2ku_x - u_{xxt} + au^m u_x = 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $a > 0, k \in R, m \in N$  and  $m$  is called the strength of the nonlinearity. They discussed the peakons and their bifurcation in (1.2) and they introduced GCH equation from the mathematical point of view. In [2-4], Lixin Tian et al studied its peakons and traveling wave solutions.

In the other hand, more people have paid attentions to boundary control of different equations. Byrnes et al studied local stability of Burgers equation, Vanly et al further consummated this result, but still local. Miroslav Kristic studied global stability of Burgers equation [5]. Biler Rassel and Zhang studied KdVB equation under periodical boundary condition [6]-[8]. Liu and Kristic studied the stability of KdVB equation in a limited area [9]. Wei-jiu Liu et al did some studies on K-S equation [10, 11]. Yiping Meng studied boundary control of b-family equation [12]. In this paper, we study the existence of the boundary control of the viscous generalized Camassa-Holm equation with the following boundary

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xxt} - \varepsilon(u - u_{xx})_{xx} + ku_x + (u^3)_x = 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx} \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \\ u(0, t) = u(1, t) = u_x(0, t) = u_x(1, t) = u_{xx}(0, t) = u_{xx}(1, t) = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $t > 0, x \in \Omega, \Omega = [0, 1], u \in H = L^2(\Omega)$ .

\*Corresponding author. E-mail address: tianlx@ujs.edu.cn

We will discuss the global well-posedness of (1.3). It will be shown that for given  $T > 0, u_0 \in H^3$ , (1.3) admits a unique solution  $u \in C((0, T), H^3(0, 1)) \cap C'((0, T), H^2(0, 1))$ .

## 2 Main theorem

Denote  $A = -\Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator. Let  $v = u + Au$ . Denote bi-linear item  $B(u, v) = uv_x$  and  $C(u) = ku_x$ . Eq.(1.3) can be denoted as

$$\begin{cases} v_t + \varepsilon Av + 2B(v, u) + B(u, v) + C(u) + (u^3)_x - (\frac{3u^2}{2})_x = 0 \\ u_0 = u(x, 0) \\ u(0, t) = u(1, t) = u_{xx}(0, t) = 0 \\ u_{xxx}(1, t) = u_x(1, t) = u_x(0, t) \end{cases}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $A$  is a self-adjoint positive operator with compact inverse.

**Theorem 1** With  $u_0 \in H^3$ , Eq.4 has a global solution

$$u \in C((0, \infty), H^3(0, 1)) \cap C'((0, \infty), H^2(0, 1))$$

and satisfies the following  $L^2, H^1, H^2, H^3$  stability:

$$(1) \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \leq (\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2) \exp(-2\varepsilon\lambda_1)t, \lambda_1 \text{ is a Poincare coefficient.} \quad (2.2)$$

$$(2) \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u\|_{H^2}^2 \leq (\|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u_0\|_{H^2}^2) \exp(b_1 - 2\varepsilon\lambda_2)t, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\varepsilon \in (\frac{c}{2\lambda_2}, +\infty)$  and  $b_1$  is a nonnegative constant,  $\lambda_2$  is a Poincare coefficient.

$$(3) \|u\|_{H^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^3}^2 \leq (\|u_0\|_{H^2}^2 + \|u_0\|_{H^3}^2) \exp(2b_2 - 2\varepsilon\lambda_3)t, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\varepsilon \in (\frac{b_2}{\lambda_3}, +\infty)$  and  $b_2$  is a nonnegative constant,  $\lambda_3$  is a Poincare coefficient.

## 3 Proof of Theorem

**First, we prove the global existence of the solution.**

We use the Galerkin procedure to prove global existence.

Let  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H$  consisting of eigenfunctions of the operator  $A$ . For  $m \in N$  define the discrete ansatz space by  $H_m = \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_m\}$ , and  $P_m$  is the orthogonal projection from  $H$  to  $H_m$ . Performing the Galerkin Procedure for Eq.(2.1), then (2.1) is the ordinary differential system,

$$\begin{cases} v_{m,t} + \varepsilon Av_m + 2P_mB(v_m, u_m) + P_mB(u_m, v_m) + P_mC(u_m) + (u_m^3)_x - (\frac{3u_m^2}{2})_x = 0 \\ u_m(x, 0) = p_m u_{m,0}(x) \end{cases}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $v_m = u_m - u_{m,xx}$ . Since the nonlinear term is quadratic in  $u_m$ , then by the classical theory of ordinary differential equation, the system (3.1) has a unique solution for a short interval of the time  $(0, T_m)$ . Our purpose is to show the global existence of the solution under given boundary control.

Taking inner product in (3.1) to be  $u_m$  on  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2) + \varepsilon (\|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

By Poincare inequality and Young's inequality, we can construct

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|u_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2) + 2\varepsilon\lambda_1 (\|u_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2) \leq 0,$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is a Poincare coefficient. Then, we can get

$$\|u_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 \leq (\|u_m(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_m(0)\|_{H^1}^2) \exp(-2\varepsilon\lambda_1 t) \leq \|u_m(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_m(0)\|_{H^1}^2 \underline{\Delta} r_1, \quad (3.3)$$

$\forall t \in [0, T]$ , where  $r_1$  is positive constant.

Let  $r$  be a positive constant, integrating (3.2) in the interval  $[t, t + r] \subset [0, T]$ , we have

$$\int_t^{t+r} \left( \|u_m(s)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) ds \leq \frac{r_1}{\varepsilon}. \tag{3.4}$$

Taking inner product in (3.1) to be  $Au_m$  on  $\Omega$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \varepsilon \left( \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 \right) + P_m(B(u_m, v_m), Au_m) \\ & + 2P_m(B(v_m, u_m), Au_m) + P_m(C(u_m), Au_m) + ((u_m^3)_x, Au_m) - \left( \left( \frac{3u_m^2}{2} \right)_x, Au_m \right) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By computing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((u_m^3)_x, Au_m) = 3 \int_0^1 u_m(u_{mx})^3 dx, \left( \left( \frac{3u_m^2}{2} \right)_x, Au_m \right) = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^1 (u_{mx})^3 dx \\ & |P_m(B(u_m, v_m), Au_m) + 2P_m(B(v_m, u_m), Au_m) + P_m(C(u_m), Au_m)| \\ & \leq 3|P_m(B(u_m, u_m), Au_m)| + 2|P_m(B(Au_m, u_m), Au_m)| + |P_m(B(u_m, Au_m), Au_m)|. \end{aligned}$$

According to Agmon inequality when  $n = 1$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |P_m(B(u_m, u_m), Au_m)| \leq \|u_{m,x}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C_1 \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{5}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ & |P_m(B(Au_m, u_m), Au_m)| \leq \|u_{m,x}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_2 \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{2}}, \\ & |P_m(B(u_m, Au_m), Au_m)| \leq \|u_{m,x}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_3 \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{2}}, \\ & \left| \int_0^1 u_m(u_{mx})^3 dx \right| \leq \|u_m\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u_{m,x}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 \\ & \leq (C_4 \|u_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (C_5 \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2, \\ & \leq C_4 C_5 C_6^{\frac{1}{2}} C_7^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{5}{2}} \leq C_8 \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{5}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_8 = C_4 C_5 C_6^{\frac{1}{2}} C_7^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\|u_m\|_{L^2} \leq C_6, \|u_m\|_{H^1} \leq C_7$ .

$$\left| \int_0^1 (u_{m,x})^3 dx \right| \leq \|u_{m,x}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C_9 \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{5}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From above inequalities, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |P_m(B(u_m, v_m), Au_m) + 2P_m(B(v_m, u_m), Au_m) + P_m(C(u_m), Au_m) + ((u_m^3)_x, Au_m) - \left( \left( \frac{3u_m^2}{2} \right)_x, Au_m \right)| \\ & \leq (3C_1 + 3C_8 + \frac{3}{2}C_9) \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{5}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + (2C_2 + C_3) \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{2}} \\ & \leq C_{10} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_{10} = \max \{3C_1 + 3C_8 + \frac{3}{2}C_9, 2C_2 + C_3\}$ .

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \varepsilon \left( \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 \right) \\ & \leq C_{10} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Applying Young's inequality and Poincare inequality, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + 2\varepsilon\lambda_2 \left( \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \leq 2 \left( \varepsilon\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right)$$

$$+ \left( \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \frac{C_{10}^2}{2\varepsilon\lambda_2 - 1} \|u_m\|_{H^1} \|Au_m\|_{L^2} \left( \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right).$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \leq C_{11} \left( \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^2,$$

where  $C_{11} = \frac{C_{10}^2}{2(2\varepsilon\lambda_2 - 1)}$  is a constant,

$\lambda_2$  is a Poincare coefficient and  $\varepsilon > \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2\lambda_2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ .

Let  $y = \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2, g = C_{11} \left( \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right)$ . Then,

we can derive  $\int_t^{t+r} y(s) ds \leq \frac{r_2}{\varepsilon}, \int_t^{t+r} g(s) ds \leq C_{11} \frac{r_2}{\varepsilon}$ , for  $r > 0$ .

According to uniform Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \left( \frac{r_2}{\varepsilon r} \right) \exp \left( C_{11} \frac{r_2}{\varepsilon} \right) \triangleq r_3.$$

Integrating (3.6) in the interval  $[t, t+r]$ , we can get

$$\varepsilon \int_t^{t+r} \left( \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 \right) ds \leq \frac{C_{10}r_2r_3}{4\varepsilon} + \frac{C_{10}r_2}{2\varepsilon} + \frac{r_3}{2} + \frac{r_2}{2\varepsilon} \triangleq r_4. \quad (3.7)$$

Taking inner product in (3.1) to be  $A^2u_m$  on  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 \right) + \varepsilon \left( \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|A^2u_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + P_m \left( B(u_m, v_m), A^2u_m \right) \\ & + 2P_m \left( B(v_m, u_m), A^2u_m \right) + P_m \left( C(u_m), A^2u_m \right) + ((u_m^3)_x, A^2u_m) - \left( \left( \frac{3u_m^2}{2} \right)_x, A^2u_m \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By computing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((u_m^3)_x, A^2u_m) &= -3 \left( \int_0^1 2u_m u_{m,x}^2 u_{m,xxx} + u_m^2 u_{m,xx} u_{m,xxx} dx \right) = 15 \int_0^1 u_m u_{m,x} u_{m,xx}^2 dx, \\ \left( \left( \frac{3u_m^2}{2} \right)_x, A^2u_m \right) &= 3 \left( - \int_0^1 u_{m,x}^2 u_{m,xxx} + u_m u_{m,xx} u_{m,xxx} dx \right) = \frac{15}{2} \int_0^1 u_{m,x} u_{m,xx}^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

According to Agmon inequality when  $n = 1$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P_m \left( B(u_m, u_m), A^2u_m \right)| &\leq \|u_{m,x}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C_{12} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 \right), \\ |P_m \left( B(Au_m, u_m), A^2u_m \right)| &\leq \|u_{m,x}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C_{13} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 \right), \\ |P_m \left( B(u_m, Au_m), A^2u_m \right)| &\leq \|u_{m,x}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C_{14} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 \right), \\ \left| \int_0^1 u_m u_{m,x} u_{m,xx}^2 dx \right| &\leq \|u_m\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u_{m,x}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq (C_{15} \|u_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (C_{16} \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \left( \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 \right) \\ &\leq C_{17} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 \right), \text{ where } C_{17} = C_{15} C_{16} C_6^{\frac{1}{2}} C_7^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \left| \int_0^1 u_{m,x} u_{m,xx}^2 dx \right| &\leq \|u_{m,x}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_{18} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

From above inequalities, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| P_m \left( B(u_m, v_m), A^2u_m \right) + 2P_m \left( B(v_m, u_m), A^2u_m \right) + P_m \left( C(u_m), A^2u_m \right) \right. \\ & \left. + ((u_m^3)_x, A^2u_m) - \left( \left( \frac{3u_m^2}{2} \right)_x, A^2u_m \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (3C_{12} + 2C_{13} + C_{14} + C_{15} + 15C_{17} + \frac{15}{2}C_{18}) \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2) \\ &\leq C_{19} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2) + \varepsilon (\|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|A^2u_m\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C_{19} \|u_m\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2) + \frac{1}{2} (\|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|A^2u_m\|_{L^2}^2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Applying Young’s inequality and Poincare inequality, we can obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2) \leq C_{20} \|u_m\|_{H^1} \|Au_m\|_{L^2} (\|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2), \tag{3.9}$$

where  $C_{20} = \frac{C_{19}^2}{\lambda_3(2\varepsilon-1)}$  is constant,  $\varepsilon > \max\{\frac{1}{2\lambda_3}, \frac{1}{2}\}$  and  $\lambda_3$  is a Poincare coefficient. We know that

$$\int_t^{t+r} C_{20} \|u_m\|_{H^1} \|Au_m\|_{L^2} ds \leq \frac{C_{20}}{2}, \int_t^{t+r} (\|u_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2) ds \leq \frac{C_{20}r_2}{2\varepsilon} \tag{3.10}$$

Next, by uniform Gronwall inequality, it follows that

$$\|Au_m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \left(\frac{r_4}{\varepsilon r}\right) \exp\left(\frac{C_{20}r_2}{2\varepsilon}\right) \triangleq r_5. \tag{3.11}$$

We integrate (3.8) in the interval  $[t, t + r]$ , and have

$$\left(\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_t^{t+r} (\|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|A^2u_m\|_{L^2}^2) ds \leq \frac{C_{19}r_3r_4}{4\varepsilon} + \frac{C_{19}r_4}{2\varepsilon} + \frac{r_5}{2} \triangleq r_6, \tag{3.12}$$

where  $\varepsilon > \max\{\frac{1}{2\lambda_3}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ .

Taking the inner product of (3.1) to with  $A^3u_m$  in  $\Omega$ , we can get

$$\|Au_m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|A^2u_m\|_{L^2}^2 \leq r_7 \tag{3.13}$$

from the method discussed above and uniform Gronwall lemma similarly.

Now, we have obtain that  $\|u_m\|_{L^2}, \|u_m\|_{H^1}, \|Au_m\|_{L^2}, \|Au_m\|_{H^1}$  and  $\|A^2u_m\|_{L^2}$  are bounded. Then  $\|v_m\|_{L^2}, \|v_m\|_{H^1}$  and  $\|Av_m\|_{L^2}$  are bounded. Hence we can conclude that  $\|u_{m,t}\|_{L^2}$  and  $\|v_{m,t}\|_{L^2}$  are bounded. By Aubin’s Compactness Theorem, we conclude that there is a subsequence  $u'_m$ , such that  $u'_m \rightarrow u$ , or equivalently  $v'_m \rightarrow v$ . Let us replace  $u'_m$  and  $v'_m$  by  $u_m$  and  $v_m$ . Now we prove  $u, v$  satisfy equation (2.1).

Let  $\omega \in D(A)$ . We know  $\|\omega\|_{L^2}$  is bounded from the above discussion. From ordinary differential equations (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(v_m(t), \omega) + \varepsilon \int_0^t (Av_m(s), P_m\omega) ds + 2 \int_0^t (B(v_m(s), u_m(s)), p_m\omega) ds + \int_0^t (B(u_m(s), v_m(s)), p_m\omega) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t (C(u_m), P_m\omega) ds + \int_0^t ((u_m^3)_x, P_m\omega) ds - \frac{3}{2} \int_0^t (u_m u_m, x, P_m\omega) ds = (v_m(0), \omega). \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is clear that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} |p_m\omega - \omega| = 0, \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} |p_m A\omega - A\omega| = 0$ , and so

$$(Av_m(t), \omega) \rightarrow (Av(t), \omega),$$

$$\int_0^t (Av_m(s), P_m\omega) ds \rightarrow \int_0^t (Av(s), \omega) ds, \text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\left| \int_0^t (C(u_m(s)), P_m\omega) ds - \int_0^t (C(u(s)), \omega) ds \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \left| \int_0^t (C(u_m(s)), P_m\omega - \omega) ds \right| + \left| \int_0^t (C(u_m(s)) - C(u(s)), \omega) ds \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|u_m\|_{H^1} \|P_m\omega - \omega\|_{L^2} ds + \int_0^t \|u_m - u\|_{H^1} \|\omega\|_{L^2} ds \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty, \\ & \left| \int_0^t (B(v_m(s), u_m(s)), P_m\omega) ds - \int_0^t (B(v(s), u(s)), \omega) ds \right| \leq I_m^{(1)} + I_m^{(2)} + I_m^{(3)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_m^{(1)} &= \left| \int_0^t (B(v_m(s), u_m(s)), P_m\omega - \omega) ds \right| \leq \int_0^t |B(v_m(s), u_m(s))| |P_m\omega - \omega| ds \rightarrow 0, \\ I_m^{(2)} &= \left| \int_0^t (B(v(s), u_m(s) - u(s)), \omega) ds \right| \leq \int_0^t \|v(s)\|_{L^2} \|u_m(s) - u(s)\|_{H^1} \|\omega\|_{L^2} ds \rightarrow 0, \\ I_m^{(3)} &= \left| \int_0^t (B(v_m(s) - v(s), u_m(s)), \omega) ds \right| \leq \int_0^t \|v_m(s) - v(s)\|_{L^2} \|u_m(s)\|_{H^1} \|\omega\|_{L^2} ds \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ .

According to above discussion and Lebesgue Control Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t (B(v_m(s), u_m(s)), P_m\omega) ds = \int_0^t (B(v(s), u(s)), \omega) ds.$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \left| \int_0^t (B(u_m(s), v_m(s)), P_m\omega) ds - \int_0^t (B(u(s), v(s)), \omega) ds \right| \leq I_m^{(4)} + I_m^{(5)} + I_m^{(6)},$$

where  $I_m^{(4)} \rightarrow 0, I_m^{(5)} \rightarrow 0, I_m^{(6)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

According to above discussion and Lebesgue Control Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t (B(u_m(s), v_m(s)), P_m\omega) ds = \int_0^t (B(u(s), v(s)), \omega) ds.$$

We can also get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t ((u_m^3)_x, P_m\omega) ds - \int_0^t ((u^3)_x, \omega) ds \right| \leq \left| \int_0^t ((u_m^3)_x, P_m\omega - \omega) ds \right| + \left| \int_0^t ((u_m^3)_x - (u^3)_x, \omega) ds \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|u_m^3\|_{H^1} \|P_m\omega - \omega\|_{L^2} ds + \int_0^t \|u_m^3 - u^3\|_{H^1} \|\omega\|_{L^2} ds \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|u_m^3\|_{H^1} \|P_m\omega - \omega\|_{L^2} ds + \int_0^t \|(u_m - u)(u_m^2 + u_m u + u^2)\|_{H^1} \|\omega\|_{L^2} ds \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t \left(\frac{3}{2}u_m^2\right)_x, P_m\omega) ds - \int_0^t \left(\frac{3}{2}u^2\right)_x, \omega) ds \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_0^t \left(\frac{3}{2}u_m^2\right)_x, P_m\omega - \omega) ds \right| + \left| \int_0^t \left(\frac{3}{2}u_m^2\right)_x - \left(\frac{3}{2}u^2\right)_x, \omega) ds \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^t \left\| \frac{3}{2}u_m^2 \right\|_{H^1} \|P_m\omega - \omega\|_{L^2} ds + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{3}{2}(u_m - u)(u_m + u) \right\|_{H^1} \|\omega\|_{L^2} ds \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for all  $\omega \in D(A)$ , we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t (v_t(t), \omega) ds + \varepsilon \int_0^t (Av(s), \omega) ds + 2 \int_0^t (B(v(s), u(s)), \omega) ds + \int_0^t (B(u(s), v(s)), \omega) ds \\ & + \int_0^t ((u^3)_x, \omega) ds - \int_0^t \left(\frac{3}{2}u^2\right)_x, \omega) ds + \int_0^t (C(u(s)), \omega) ds = (v(0), \omega). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Above all, we can conclude that the existence of global solution to Eq.(2.1).

Uniqueness of the solution is an immediate consequence.(see [13])

**Second, we will prove the global exponential stability of the solution.**

We take the inner product of (2.1) with  $u$  in  $(0, 1)$  to obtain,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \right) + \varepsilon \left( \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \right) = 0 \tag{3.15}$$

By Poincare inequality, we have  $\|u\|_{H^1}^2 \geq \lambda_1 \|u\|_{L^2}^2, \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \lambda_1 \|u\|_{H^1}^2,$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \right) + 2\varepsilon\lambda_1 \left( \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \right) &\leq 0, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \right) &\leq -2\varepsilon\lambda_1 \left( \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get (2.2)

$\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \leq (\|u(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u(0)\|_{H^1}^2) \exp(-2\varepsilon\lambda_1)t$ , where  $\lambda_1$  is a Poincare coefficient.

Now, take the inner product of (2.1) with  $Au$  in  $(0, 1)$  to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \varepsilon \left( \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2 \right) &+ (B(u, v), Au) + 2(B(v, u), Au) \\ &+ (C(u), Au) + ((u^3)_x, Au) - \left( \left( \frac{3u^2}{2} \right)_x, Au \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By computing, we have

$$(C(u), Au) = 0,$$

$$|((u^3)_x, Au)| = \left| 3 \int_0^1 u^2 u_x u_{xx} dx \right| \leq 3 \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \|u\|_{H^1} \|Au\|_{L^2} \leq a_1 \left( \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \right),$$

$$\left( \left( \frac{3u^2}{2} \right)_x, Au \right) = \left| 3 \int_0^1 uu_x u_{xx} dx \right| \leq 3 \|u\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{H^1} \|Au\|_{L^2} \leq a_2 \left( \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \right),$$

$$|(B(u, v), Au) + 2(B(v, u), Au)|$$

$$= |3(B(u, u), Au) + (B(Au, u), Au) + (B(u, Au), Au)|,$$

$$|(B(u, u), Au)| \leq \|u\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \|Au\|_{L^2} \leq a_3 \left( \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \right),$$

$$|(B(Au, u), Au)| \leq \|u\|_{H^1} \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \leq a_4 \left( \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \right),$$

$$|(B(u, Au), Au)| \leq a_5 \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \leq a_5 \left( \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \right).$$

So we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - \varepsilon\lambda_2) \left( \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \left( \|u(0)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au(0)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \exp 2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - \varepsilon\lambda_2)t \\ &\triangleq \left( \|u(0)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|Au(0)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \exp(b_1 - 2\varepsilon\lambda_2)t, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where  $\varepsilon \in \left( \frac{b_1}{2\lambda_2}, +\infty \right)$  and  $b_1$  is a nonnegative constant,  $\lambda_2$  is a Poincare coefficient.

Then we get (2.3).

Take the inner product of (2.1) with  $A^2u$  in  $(0, 1)$  to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2 \right) + \varepsilon \left( \|Au\|_{H^1}^2 + \|A^2u\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + (B(u, v), A^2u) + 2(B(v, u), A^2u)$$

$$+ (C(u), A^2u) + ((u^3)_x, A^2u) - \left( \left( \frac{3u^2}{2} \right)_x, A^2u \right) = 0,$$

$$(C(u), A^2u) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |((u^3)_x, A^2u)| = \left| 15 \int_0^1 uu_x u_{xx}^2 dx \right| \leq 15 \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq 15(a_6 \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}})(a_7 \|u\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}})(\|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2) \leq a_8 \|u\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2) \\
& \left| \left( \left( \frac{3u^2}{2} \right)_x, A^2u \right) \right| = \left| \frac{15}{2} \int_0^1 u_x u_{xx}^2 dx \right| \leq \frac{15}{2} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \leq a_9 \|u\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2), \\
& |(B(u, v), A^2u) + 2(B(v, u), A^2u)| = |3(B(u, u), A^2u) + (B(u, Au), A^2u) + (B(Au, u), A^2u)|, \\
& |(B(u, u), A^2u)| \leq \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 \leq a_{10} \|u\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2), \\
& |(B(Au, u), A^2u)| \leq \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|Au\|_{H^1}^2 \leq a_{11} \|u\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2), \\
& |(B(u, Au), A^2u)| \leq \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|Au\|_{H^1}^2 \leq a_{12} \|u\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.16), we get  $\|u\|_{H^1} \leq a_{13}$ ,  $\|Au\|_{H^1} \leq a_{14}$ .

From above inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2) + \varepsilon (\|Au\|_{H^1}^2 + \|A^2u\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \leq (a_8 + a_9 + 3a_{10} + a_{11} + a_{12}) \|u\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Au\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2), \\
& \leq (a_8 + a_9 + 3a_{10} + a_{11} + a_{12}) a_{13}^{\frac{1}{2}} a_{14}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2) \triangleq b_2 (\|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $b_2$  is a nonnegative constant.

By Poincare inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} (\|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2) \leq (2b_2 - 2\varepsilon\lambda_3) (\|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2), \\
& \|Au\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^1}^2 \leq (\|Au(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au(0)\|_{H^1}^2) \exp(2b_2 - 2\varepsilon\lambda_3)t,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon \in (\frac{b_2}{\lambda_3}, +\infty)$  and  $b_2$  is a nonnegative constant,  $\lambda_3$  is a Poincare coefficient.

We get (2.4) of the theorem. The proof of Theorem is completed.

## References

- [1] Camassa R, Holm D. An integrable shallow water equation with peaked soliton. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*71:1661–1664(1993)
- [2] Yiqing Li, Lixin Tian, Yuhai Wu: On the bifurcation of traveling wave solution of generalized Camassa-Holm equation. *International Journal of nonlinear Science.*6(1):34-45(2008)
- [3] Lixin Tian, Chunyu Shen: Optimal control of the b-family equation. *International Journal of nonlinear Science.*4(1):3-9(2007)
- [4] Lixin Tian, Xiuying Song: New peaked solitary wave solutions of the generalized Camassa-Holm equation. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals.* 19(3):621–637(2004)
- [5] Miroslav Krstic. On global stabilization of Burgers equation by boundary control, *Systems Control Letters.* 123-141(1999)
- [6] P.Biler: Large-time behavior of periodic solutions to dissipative equations of KdV-B type. *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Math.* 32(7-8):401-405( 1984)
- [7] D.L.Russel, B.Y.Zhang: Stabilization of the Korteweg-de Vries equations on a periodic domain. *IMA Math Appl.( Springer, New York)*70:195-211(1995)

- [8] D.L. Russel, B.Y. Zhang: Exact controllability and stabilizability of the Korteweg-de Vries equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 348(9):3643-3672(1996)
- [9] B.Y. Zhang: Boundary stabilization of the Korteweg-de Vries equations. *Ser. Numer. Math* 118:371-389(1994)
- [10] Wei-jiu Liu, Miroslav Krstic: Stability enhancement by boundary control of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation. *Nonlinear Analysis*. 43: 485-507(2001)
- [11] Haixia Chao, Dianchen Lu, Lixin Tian: Boundary control of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation with an external excitation. *International Journal of nonlinear Science*. 1(2): 67-81(2006)
- [12] Yiping Meng: Boundary control on the modified b-family equation. *International Journal of nonlinear Science*. 6(2):177-184(2008)
- [13] H.R. Dullin, G.A. Gottwald, D.D. Holm, Camassa-Holm: Korteweg-de Vries-5 and other asymptotically equivalent equations for shallow water waves. *Fluid Dynamics Research*. 33(2003) 73-79.