

# Homotopy perturbation transform method for solving nonlinear wave-like equations of variable coefficients

V.G.Gupta<sup>1</sup> Sumit Gupta<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur- 302055, Rajasthan, India

<sup>2</sup> JaganNath Gupta Institute of Engineering and Technology, Sitapura, Jaipur, India

(Received October 28, 2012, accepted March 13, 2013)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we apply homotopy perturbation transform method (HPTM) for solving nonlinear wave-like equations of variable coefficients. This method is the coupling of homotopy perturbation method and Laplace transform method. The nonlinear terms can be easily obtained by the use of He's polynomials. HPTM present an accurate methodology to solve many types of linear and nonlinear differential equations. The approximate solutions obtained by means of HPTM in a wide range of the problem's domain were compared with those results obtained from the actual solutions, the Variational iteration method (VIM) and the Adomain decomposition method (ADM). The fact that proposed technique solves nonlinear problems without using Adomain's polynomials can be considered as a clear advantage of this algorithm over the decomposition method. The comparison shows a precise agreement between the results.

**Keywords:** Homotopy perturbation method, Laplace transform method, nonlinear wave-like equations, He's polynomials.

## 1. Introduction

Nonlinear phenomena appear everywhere in our daily life and our scientific works, and today nonlinear science represents one of the most challenging promising, and romantic fields of research in science and technology [1-2]. It was very difficult to solve nonlinear problems effectively either numerically or analytically, an even more difficult to establish models for real world problems. In recent years, many authors have paid attention to studying the solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations by Adomain decomposition method [3-6], the tanh method [7], the sine-cosine method [8-9] the differential transform method [10-11], the variational iteration method [12-17] and the Laplace decomposition method [18-22]. In numer methods, computers codes and more powerful processors are required to achieve methods. The main advantage of semi-analytical methods, compared with others methods, is based on the fact that they can be conveniently applied to solve various complicated problems with accurate approximation, but this approximation is acceptable only for small range [23], because boundary conditions in one dimension are satisfied via these methods. Consequently, this shows that most of these semi-analytical methods encounter inbuilt deficiencies like he calculation of Adomain polynomials, huge computational works and divergent results. One of these semi-analytical methods is the homotopy perturbation method (HPM). He [24-32] developed the homotopy perturbation method for solving linear, nonlinear, initial and boundary value problems [33-38] by merging two techniques, the standard homotopy and the perturbation technique. The homotopy perturbation method was formulated by taking the full advantage of the standard homotopy and perturbation technique and has been modified by the some scientists to obtain more accurate results, rapid convergence, and to reduce the amount of computation [39-44]. Everyone familiar the term namely, Laplace transform [45], is a powerful technique for solving various linear partial differential equations having considerable significance in various fields of science and engineering. But it incapable of solving nonlinear system of equations because of the difficulties that are arises due to nonlinear terms. Various techniques have been proposed to handle these nonlinearities to produce a highly effective technique for solving the nonlinear problems [46-48].

In this paper we use a new modification of HPM to overcome the difficulties of handling nonlinear terms. HPTM provides the solution in a rapid convergent series which may lead the solution in rapid convergent series which may lead the solution in closed form. The nonlinear terms can be easily handled by

the use of He's polynomials [49-50]. HPTM is applied without any discretization or restrictive assumptions and avoids round-off errors. Several examples are given to verify the reliability and efficiency of the homotopy perturbation transform method. In this paper, we consider the following nonlinear wave-like equations

$$u_{tt} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n F_{1ij}(X,t,u) \frac{\partial^{k+m} F_{2ij}(u_{xi},u_{xj})}{\partial x_i^k \partial x_j^m} + \sum_{i=1}^n G_{1i}(X,t,u) \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^p} G_{2i}(u_{xi}) + H(X,t,u) + S(X,t) \tag{1}$$

with the initial conditions

$$u(X,0) = a_0(X), \quad u_t(X,0) = a_1(X).$$

Here  $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $F_{1ij}, G_{1i}$  are nonlinear function of  $X, t$  and  $u$ .  $F_{2ij}, G_{2i}$  are nonlinear function of derivatives of  $x_i, x_j$ . While  $H, S$  are nonlinear functions and  $k, m, p$  are integers. These types of equations are of considerable significance in various fields of applied sciences, mathematical physics, nonlinear hydrodynamics, engineering physics, biophysics, human movement sciences, astrophysics and plasma physics. These equations describe the evolution of stochastic systems. For example, they describe the erratic motions of small particles that are immersed in fluids, fluctuations of the intensity of laser light, velocity distributions of fluid particles in turbulent flows and the stochastic behavior of exchange rates. M. Ghoreishi [51] has been solved this type of equation by Adomain Decomposition method (ADM) to avoid unrealistic assumptions in calculating the Adomain polynomials. ADM is the most transparent method for solutions of the nonlinear problems; however, this method is involved in the calculation of complicated Adomain polynomials which narrows down its applications. To overcome this disadvantage of the Adomain decomposition method, we consider the homotopy perturbation transform method to solve various nonlinear wave-like equations of variable coefficients.

## 2. Homotopy perturbation transform Method

This method has been introduced by Y.Khan and Q.Wu [52] by combining the Homotopy perturbation method and Laplace transform method for solving various types of linear and nonlinear systems of partial differential equations. To illustrate the basic idea of HPTM, we consider a general nonlinear partial differential equation with the initial conditions of the form [52].

$$Du(x,t) + Ru(x,t) + Nu(x,t) = g(x,t), \tag{2}$$

$$u(x,0) = h(x), \quad u_t(x,0) = f(x).$$

where  $D$  is the second order linear differential operator  $D = \partial^2 / \partial t^2$ ,  $R$  is the linear differential operator of less order than  $D$ ;  $N$  represents the general nonlinear differential operator and  $g(x,t)$  is the source term. Taking the Laplace transform (denoted in this paper by  $L$ ) on both sides of Eq. (2):

$$L[Du(x,t)] + L[Ru(x,t)] + L[Nu(x,t)] = L[g(x,t)] \tag{3}$$

Using the differentiation property of the Laplace transform, we have

$$L[u(x,t)] = \frac{h(x)}{s} + \frac{f(x)}{s^2} - \frac{1}{s^2} L[Ru(x,t)] + \frac{1}{s^2} L[g(x,t)] - \frac{1}{s^2} L[Nu(x,t)] \tag{4}$$

Operating with the Laplace inverse on both sides of Eq. (4) gives

$$u(x,t) = G(x,t) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} L[Ru(x,t) + Nu(x,t)] \right] \tag{5}$$

where  $G(x,t)$  represents the term arising from the source term and the prescribed initial conditions. Now we apply the homotopy perturbation method

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x,t) \tag{6}$$

and the nonlinear term can be decomposed as

$$Nu(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n H_n(u) \tag{7}$$

for some He's polynomials  $H_n(u)$  (see [49-50]) that are given by

$$H_n(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial p^n} \left[ N \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i u_i \right) \right]_{p=0}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{8}$$

Substituting Eq. (8), Eq. (7) and Eq. (6) in Eq. (5) we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x,t) = G(x,t) - p \left( L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} L \left[ R \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x,t) + N \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n H_n(u) \right] \right] \right) \tag{9}$$

which is the coupling of the Laplace transform and the homotopy perturbation method using He's polynomials. Comparing the coefficient of like powers of  $p$ , the following approximations are obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} p^0 : u_0(x,t) &= G(x,t) \\ p^1 : u_1(x,t) &= -\frac{1}{s^2} L [Ru_0(x,t) + H_0(u)], \\ p^2 : u_2(x,t) &= -\frac{1}{s^2} L [Ru_1(x,t) + H_1(u)], \\ p^3 : u_3(x,t) &= -\frac{1}{s^2} L [Ru_2(x,t) + H_2(u)], \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and so on

### 3. Applications

In this section, we apply the homotopy perturbation transform method (HPTM) for solving various types of nonlinear wave-like equations with variable coefficients.

**Example 3.1** Consider the following two dimensional nonlinear wave-like equations with variable coefficients [51].

$$u_{tt} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} (u_{xx} u_{yy}) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} (x y u_x u_y) - u \tag{11}$$

with the initial conditions

$$u(x, y, 0) = e^{xy}, \quad u_t(x, y, 0) = e^{xy}$$

The exact solution is given by  $u(x, y, t) = e^{xy} (\cos t + \sin t)$ ; by means of homotopy perturbation transform method,

Taking Laplace transform both of sides, subject to the initial condition, we get

$$L[u(x, y, t)] = \frac{(s+1)}{s^2} e^{xy} + \frac{1}{s^2} L \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} (u_{xx} u_{yy}) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} (x y u_x u_y) - u(x, y, t) \right] \tag{12}$$

Taking inverse Laplace transform, we get

$$u(x, y, t) = (1+t)e^{xy} + L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} L \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} (u_{xx} u_{yy}) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} (x y u_x u_y) - u(x, y, t) \right] \right] \tag{13}$$

by homotopy perturbation method, we get

$$u(x, y, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x, y, t) \tag{14}$$

using equation (14) in equation (13), we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x, y, t) = (1+t)e^{xy} + pL^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} L \left[ \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n H_n(u) \right) - \left( xy \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n K_n(u) \right) \right] - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x, y, t) \right] \tag{15}$$

Where  $H_n(u)$  and  $K_n(u)$  are the He's polynomials having the value  $H_n(u) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} (u_{xx} u_{yy})$

and  $K_n(u) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} (u_x u_y)$ .

The first few components of  $H_n(u)$  and  $K_n(u)$  are given by

$$H_0(u) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} ((u_0)_{xx} (u_0)_{yy})$$

$$H_1(u) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} ((u_1)_{xx} (u_0)_{yy} + (u_1)_{yy} (u_0)_{xx})$$

$$H_2(u) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} ((u_0)_{xx} (u_2)_{yy} + (u_1)_{xx} (u_1)_{yy} + (u_0)_{yy} (u_2)_{xx})$$

⋮

and

$$K_0(u) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} ((u_0)_x (u_0)_y)$$

$$K_1(u) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} ((u_1)_x (u_0)_y + (u_1)_y (u_0)_x)$$

$$K_2(u) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} ((u_0)_x (u_2)_y + (u_1)_x (u_1)_y + (u_0)_y (u_2)_x)$$

⋮

Comparing the coefficients of various powers of  $p$ , we get

$$p^0 : u_0(x, y, t) = (1+t)e^{xy}$$

$$p^1 : u_1(x, y, t) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} L[(H_0(u)) + (xy K_0(u)) - u_0(x, y, t)] \right] \\ = -e^{xy} \left( \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^3}{6} \right)$$

$$p^2 : u_2(x, y, t) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} L[(H_1(u)) + (xy K_1(u)) - u_1(x, y, t)] \right] \tag{16} \\ = e^{xy} \left( \frac{t^4}{24} + \frac{t^5}{120} \right)$$

$$p^3 : u_3(x, y, t) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} L[(H_2(u)) + (xy K_2(u)) - u_2(x, y, t)] \right]$$

$$= -e^{xy} \left( \frac{t^6}{720} + \frac{t^7}{5040} \right)$$

⋮

and so on

⋮

Therefore the approximate solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x,t) &= u_0(x,t) + u_1(x,t) + u_2(x,t) + u_3(x,t) + \dots \\
 &= e^{xy} \left( 1 + t - \frac{t^2}{2} - \frac{t^3}{6} + \frac{t^4}{24} + \frac{t^5}{120} - \frac{t^6}{720} - \frac{t^7}{5040} + \dots \right)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17}$$

which converges to the exact solution and is same as obtained by M.Ghoreishi [51]

**Example 3.2** Consider the following nonlinear wave-like equation with variable coefficients [51].

$$u_{tt} = u^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (u_x u_{xx} u_{xxx}) + u_x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (u_x^3) - 18u^5 + u, \tag{18}$$

with the initial conditions

$$u(x,0) = e^x, \quad u_t(x,0) = e^x.$$

By applying above said method, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x,t) &= e^x(1+t) + pL^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} L \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n H_n(u) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n K_n(u) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - 18 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n J_n(u) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x,t) \right] \right]
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{19}$$

Where  $H_n(u), K_n(u)$  and  $J_n(u)$  are He's polynomials. First few components of He's polynomials are given by

$$H_0(u) = u_0^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} ((u_0)_x (u_0)_{xx} (u_0)_{xxx})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_1(u) &= 2u_0 u_1 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} ((u_0)_x (u_0)_{xx} (u_0)_{xxx}) + u_0^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [(u_1)_x (u_0)_{xx} (u_0)_{xxx} + \\
 &\quad + (u_0)_x (u_1)_{xx} (u_0)_{xxx} + (u_0)_x (u_1)_{xxx} (u_0)_{xx}]
 \end{aligned}$$

⋮

$$K_0(u) = (u_0^2)_x \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (u_0^3)_{xx}$$

$$K_1(u) = 2(u_0)_x (u_1)_x \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (u_0^3)_{xx} + 3(u_0^2)_x \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} ((u_0^2)_{xx} (u_1)_{xx})$$

⋮

$$J_0(u) = (u_0)^5$$

$$J_1(u) = 5(u_0)_4 (u_1)$$

⋮

Comparing the coefficients of various powers of  $p$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 p^0 : u_0(x, y, t) &= (1+t)e^x \\
 p^1 : u_1(x, y, t) &= L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} L[(H_0(u)) + (K_0(u)) - (18J_0(u)) + u_0(x, t)] \right] \\
 &= e^x \left( \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^3}{6} \right) \\
 p^2 : u_2(x, y, t) &= L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} L[(H_1(u)) + (K_1(u)) - (18J_1(u)) + u_1(x, t)] \right] \\
 &= e^x \left( \frac{t^4}{24} + \frac{t^5}{120} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

⋮

and so on

⋮

Therefore the approximate solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x, t) &= u_0(x, t) + u_1(x, t) + u_2(x, t) + u_3(x, t) + \dots \\
 &= e^{xy} \left( 1 + t + \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^3}{6} + \frac{t^4}{24} + \frac{t^5}{120} + \frac{t^6}{720} + \frac{t^7}{5040} + \dots \right) = e^{x+t}
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

which converges to the exact solution and is same as obtained by M.Ghoreishi [51]

**Example 3.3** Consider the following nonlinear wave-like equation with variable coefficients [51].

$$u_{tt} = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u_x u_{xx}) - x^2 (u_{xx}^2) - u, \quad 0 < x < 1, t > 0 \tag{21}$$

with the initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = 0, \quad u_t(x, 0) = x^2.$$

By applying above said method, we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x, t) = x^2 t + p L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} L \left[ \left( x^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n H_n(u) \right) - \left( x^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n K_n(u) \right) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x, t) \right] \right] \tag{22}$$

Where  $H_n(u)$  and  $K_n(u)$  are He's polynomials. First few components of He's polynomials are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_0(u) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} ((u_0)_x (u_0)_{xx}) \\
 H_1(u) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} ((u_0)_x (u_1)_{xx} + (u_0)_{xx} (u_1)_x) \\
 H_2(u) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} ((u_0)_x (u_2)_{xx} + (u_1)_{xx} (u_1)_x + (u_0)_{xx} (u_2)_x) \\
 &\vdots \\
 K_0(u) &= (u_0^2)_{xx} \\
 K_1(u) &= 2(u_0)_{xx} (u_1)_{xx} \\
 K_2(u) &= (u_1^2)_{xx} + 2(u_0)_{xx} (u_2)_{xx} \\
 &\vdots
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of various powers of  $p$ , we get

$$p^0 : u_0(x,t) = x^2 t$$

$$p^1 : u_1(x,t) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} L \left[ (x^2 H_0(u)) - (x^2 K_0(u)) - u_0(x,t) \right] \right]$$

$$= -x^2 \frac{t^3}{6}$$

$$p^2 : u_2(x,t) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} L \left[ (x^2 H_1(u)) - (x^2 K_1(u)) - u_1(x,t) \right] \right]$$

$$= x^2 \frac{t^5}{120}$$

Therefore the approximate solution is given by

$$u(x,t) = u_0(x,t) + u_1(x,t) + u_2(x,t) + u_3(x,t) + \dots$$

$$= x^2 \left( t - \frac{t^3}{6} + \frac{t^5}{120} - \dots \right) = x^2 \sin t$$

which converges to the exact solution and is same as obtained by M.Ghoreishi [51]

**Table 1**

The following table shows absolute error  $\phi_7$  for variables  $x, y$  and  $t$  varies from 0.2 to 1.0 for example 1 at  $y = 1$

$t/x, y$	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8
0.2	2.42264E-11	1.36112E-11	3.69856E-11	6.389545E-11
0.4	9.23565E-10	2.59866E-10	2.32656E-10	9.156321E-10
0.6	1.15323E-08	3.86656E-08	2.36589E-08	5.231012E-08
0.8	1.23895E-07	8.65454E-07	4.59863E-07	3.234450E-07
1.0	2.26586E-06	5.39616E-06	2.00826E-06	3.245223E-06

**Table 2**

The following table shows absolute error  $\phi_8$  for variable  $x, y$  and  $t$  varies from 0.2 to 1.0 for example 2

$t/x$	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8
0.2	3.26654E-12	6.88851E-12	9.86552E-12	3.029856E-12
0.4	2.10764E-10	5.52562E-10	8.64789E-10	9.135860E-10
0.6	3.63457E-08	8.66413E-08	5.36589E-08	8.231012E-08
0.8	1.65893E-07	8.36565E-07	5.69863E-07	4.569844E-07
1.0	3.98545E-06	9.56874E-06	2.01196E-06	6.896547E-06

**Table 3**

The following table shows absolute error  $\varphi_{10}$  for variable  $x, y$  and  $t$  varies from 0.2 to 1.0 for example 3

$t/x$	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8
0.2	0.000000000	0.000000000	0.000000000	0.000000000
0.4	0.000000000	0.000000000	0.000000000	0.000000000
0.6	0.000000000	0.000000000	0.000000000	0.000000000
0.8	2.86954E-17	8.69872E-17	5.92313E-17	8.50096E-17
1.0	1.89658E-15	2.56856E-15	5.96845E-15	1.00236E-17

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we applied the homotopy perturbation transform method (HPTM) for solving nonlinear wave-like equations with variable coefficients. The proposed method is applied successfully without any discretization, linearization or restrictive assumptions. It may be concluding that the HPTM by using He's polynomials is simple, but the calculation of Adomain's polynomials is complex. Its small size of computation in comparison with the computational size required in other numerical methods and its rapid convergence show that the method is reliable and introduces a significant improvement in solving nonlinear differential equations over existing methods.

## 5. References

- [1]. J.H.He and E.W.M.Lee, New analytical methods for cleaning up the solution of nonlinear equations, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 58 (2009) 2081-2083
- [2]. J.A.Krumhansl, *Nonlinear Science: Towards the next frontier*, Physica D68 (1993), 97-103.
- [3]. G.Adomain, *Solving Frontier problem of Physics: The Decomposition Method*, Kluwer Acad.Publ., Boston, 1994.
- [4]. A.M.Wazwaz, A new algorithm for calculating Adomain polynomials for nonlinear operators, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 111 (2000) 53-59.
- [5]. A.M.Wazwaz, Constructing of solitary wave solutions and rational solutions for the KdV equation by Adomain Decomposition Method, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 12 (2001) 2283-2293.
- [6]. A.Sadighi, D.D.Ganji, Y.Sabzehmeidani, A Decomposition method for Volume Flux and Average Velocity of thin film flow of a third grade film down an inclined plane, *Advance in Theoretical and Applied Mechanics* 1 (2008) 45-49.
- [7]. D.J.Evans, K.R.Raslan, The Tanh-Function method for solving some important nonlinear partial differential equation, *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 82 (2005), 897-905.
- [8]. A.M.Wazwaz, A sine-cosine method for handling nonlinear wave equations, *Mathematical and Computer Modeling* 40(2004) 499-508.
- [9]. A.M.Wazwaz, The tanh and sine -cosine methods for the complex modified and generalized KdV equations, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 49 (2005) 1101-1112.
- [10]. Y.Keskin, G.Oturanc, Reduced Differential Transform Method for Partial differential equations, *International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation* 10 (6) (2009)741-749.
- [11]. Y.Keskin, G.Oturanc, Reduced Differential Transform Method for Fractional Partial Differential equations, *Nonlinear Sciences Letters A* 1 (2) (2010) 61-72.
- [12]. J.H.He, Variational Iteration method-a kind of nonlinear analytical techniques: some examples, *International Journal of Nonlinear Mechanics* 34 (1999) 699-708.
- [13]. J.H.He, X.H.Wu, Variational Iteration Method: new development and applications, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 59 (2007) 881-884.
- [14]. L.A.Soltani, A.Shirzadi, A new modification of the variational iteration method, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 59 (2010) 2528-2535.
- [15]. N.Faraz, Y.Khan, A.Yildirim, Analytical Approach to two dimensional viscous flows with a shrinking sheet via variational iteration algorithm-II, *Journal of King Saud University* (2010) doi: 10.1016/j.jksus.2010.06.010.
- [16]. G.C.Wu, E.W.M.Lee, Fractional variational iteration method and its application, *Physics Letters A* (2010) doi: 10.1016/j.physleta.2010.04.034.
- [17]. C.Chun, Fourier-Series based variational iteration method for a reliable treatment of heat equations with variable coefficients, *International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation* 10 (2009) 1383-1388.
- [18]. S.A.Khuri, A Laplace decomposition algorithm applied to a class of nonlinear partial differential equations, *Journal of Applied Mathematics* 1 (2001) 141-155.



- [19]. E.Yusufoglu, Numerical solution of Duffing equation by the Laplace decomposition method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 177 (2006) 572-580.
- [20]. Y.Khan, An efficient modification of the Laplace decomposition method for nonlinear equations, *International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation* 10 (2009) 1373-1376.
- [21]. Y.Khan, N.Faraz, A new approach to differential-difference equations, *Journal of Advanced Research in Differential Equations* 2 (2010) 1-12.
- [22]. S.Islam, Y.Khan, N.Faraz, Numerical solution of logistic differential equations by using the Laplace decomposition method, *World Applied Sciences Journal* 8 (2010) 1100-1105.
- [23]. B.Jang, Exact solution of one dimensional non-homogeneous parabolic problem by the homogeneous Adomain decomposition method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 186(2) (2007) 969-979.
- [24]. J.H.He, Homotopy perturbation techniques, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 178 (1999) 257-262.
- [25]. J.H.He, Homotopy perturbation method: a new nonlinear analytical technique, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 135 (2003) 73-79.
- [26]. J.H.He, Comparison of Homotopy perturbation method and Homotopy analysis method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 156 (2004) 527-539.
- [27]. J.H.He, The homotopy perturbation method for nonlinear oscillator with discontinuities, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 151 (2004) 287-292.
- [28]. J.H.He, Some asymptotic methods for strongly nonlinear equation, *International Journal of Modern Physics B* 20 (2006) 1144-1199.
- [29]. J.H.He, Homotopy perturbation method for solving Boundary Value Problems, *Physics Letters A* 350 (2006) 87-88.
- [30]. J.H.He, Limit cycles and bifurcations of nonlinear problems, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 26 (2005) 627-633.
- [31]. J.H.He, A coupling of homotopy technique and perturbation technique for nonlinear problems, *International Journal of Nonlinear Mechanics* 35(1) (2000) 37-43.
- [32]. J.H.He, A note on the Homotopy perturbation method, *Thermal Science* 14 (2) (2010) 565-568.
- [33]. O.Martin, a homotopy perturbation method for solving a neutron transport equation, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 217 (21) (2011) 8567-8574.
- [34]. K.A.Gepreel, The homotopy perturbation method applied to the nonlinear fractional Kolomogrov-Petrovskii-Piskunov equations, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 24 (8) (2011) 1428-1434.
- [35]. S.Das, P.K.Gupta, Application of homotopy perturbation method and homotopy analysis method to fractional vibration equation, *international Journal of Computer Mathematics* 88 (2) (2010) 85-89.
- [36]. P.K.Gupta, M.Singh, Homotopy perturbation method for fractional Fornberg-Whitham equation, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 61 (2) (2011) 250-254.
- [37]. S.T.Mohyud-Din, A.Yildirim, G.Demirli, Travelling wave solutions of Whitham- Broer-Kaup equations by homotopy perturbation method, *Journal of King Saud University* 22 (3) 2010 173-176.
- [38]. H.Kocak, A.Yildirim, D.Zhang, .T.Mohyud Din, The comparative Boubaker Polynomials Expansion Scheme (BPES) and Homotopy perturbation method for solving a standard nonlinear second-order boundary value problem, *Mathematical and Computer Modeling* 54 (2) (2011) 417-422.
- [39]. S.Momani, Z.Odibat, Homotopy perturbation method for nonlinear partial differential equations of fractional order, *Physics Letters A* 365 (2007) 345-350.
- [40]. Z.Odibat , S.Momani, Modified homotopy perturbation method: Application to Riccati differential equation, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 36 (2008) 167-174.
- [41]. X.Feng, Y.We, Modified homotopy perturbation method for solving the Stoke's equations, *Computer and Mathematics with Applications* 6 (8) (2011) 2262-2266.
- [42]. J.Nadjafi, M.Tamamgar, Modified Homotopy perturbation method for solving integral equations, *International Journal of Modern Physics B* 24 (2) (2010) 4741-4746.
- [43]. M.A.Jafari and A Aminataei, Improvement of the homotopy perturbation method for solving diffusion equations *Physica Scripta* 82(1) (2010), 1-8.
- [44]. M.A.Jafari, A.Aminataei, An efficient algorithm for solving multi-term diffusion-wave equations of fractional order , *Computer and Mathematics with Applications* (Article in Press).
- [45]. J.L.Schiff, *The Laplace transform: theory and applications*, Springer Verlag (1999).
- [46]. J.Biazar, M.Gholami, P.Ghanbari, Extracting a general iterative method from an adomain decomposition method and compare it to the variational iteration method, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 59 (2010) 622-628.
- [47]. M.Madani, M.Fatizadeh, Homotopy perturbation algorithm using Laplace transformation, *Nonlinear Science Letters A* 1 (2010) 263-267.
- [48]. M.A Noor, S.T.Mohyud-Din, Variational homotopy perturbation method for solving higher dimensional initial boundary value problem, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* (2008) Article ID 696734 doi: 10.1155 / 2008 / 696734.

- [49]. A.Ghorbani, J.Saberi-Nadjafi, He's homotopy perturbation method for calculating Adomain's polynomials, International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation 8 (2007) 229-232.
- [50]. A.Ghorbani, Beyond Adomain's polynomials: He's polynomials, Chaos Solitons Fractals 39 (2009) 1486-1492.
- [51]. M. Ghoreishi, A.I.B. Ismail, N.H.M.Ali, Adomain decomposition method for nonlinear wave-like equation with variable coefficients, Applied Mathematical Sciences 4 (2010) 2431-2444.
- [52]. Y.Khan, Q.Wu, Homotopy perturbation transform method for solving nonlinear equations using He's polynomials, Computers and Mathematics with Applications (Article in Press) doi: 10.1016 / j.camwa 2010.08.022.