A New Spectral Conjugate Gradient Method and Its Global Convergence
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Abstract. Combining the advantage of spectral-gradient method, a spectral conjugate gradient method for the global optimization is presented. This method has the property that the generated search direction is sufficiently descent without utilizing the line search. The proof of the convergence of the proposed method is given. Numerical experiments show that the method is efficient and feasible.
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1. Introduction

The unconstrained nonlinear optimization problems have many important applications in various fields. By the penalty function or merit function, many constrained optimization problems can be transformed into the unconstrained optimization problems. In this paper, we consider the following unconstrained optimization problem

\[ \min f(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \] (1)

where \( f(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is a continuously differentiable function. The conjugate gradient methods are quite efficient for problem (1), especially when its scale is quite large. Compared with the Newton method, the most important property of the conjugate gradient method is that it doesn’t need to compute and store matrices. The iterative process of the conjugate gradient method is given by

\[ x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k \] (2)

where \( x_k \) is the current iterate, and \( \alpha_k \) is the steplength, \( d_k \) is the descent direction of the objective function \( f(x) \) at \( x_k \), which is defined by

\[ d_k = \begin{cases} -g_k, k = 0; \\ -g_k + \beta_k g_{k-1}, k \geq 1. \end{cases} \]

where \( g_{k-1} \) denotes \( \nabla f(x_{k-1}) \), and \( \beta_k \) is a parameter, which results in distinct conjugate gradient methods.

The following are some well-known \( \beta_k \) :
\[ \beta_k^{FR} = \frac{|| s_k ||^2}{|| s_{k-1} ||^2}, \quad \beta_k^{FRP} = \frac{g_k^T (g_k - g_{k-1})}{|| g_{k-1} ||^2}, \quad \beta_k^{HS} = \frac{d_{k-1}^T (g_k - g_{k-1})}{|| g_{k-1} ||^2}. \]

where \( \| \| \) denotes the Euclidean norm. Many researchers have studied the global convergence of the conjugate gradient methods, and obtained many meaningful results\(^{[1-5]}\). Recently, Birgin and Martinez\(^{[6]}\) proposed a spectral type conjugate gradient method, and the numerical results in \([6]\) indicates that the performance of the spectral method is more efficiency than that of non-spectral method. Then, Lu Aiguo further proposed a variant spectral-type FR conjugate gradient, which descent direction is defined by:

\[ d_k = \begin{cases} -g_k, & k = 0; \\ -\rho_k g_k + \beta_k^{VFR} d_{k-1}, & k > 0, \end{cases} \]

where \( \rho_k, \beta_k^{VFR} \) are defined by \( \rho_k = \frac{|| g_k d_{k-1} || - g_{k-1}^T d_{k-1}}{|| g_{k-1} ||^2} \), \( \beta_k^{VFR} = \frac{|| g_k || || g_k^T g_{k-1} ||}{|| g_{k-1} ||^3} \). Under the Wolfe line search or the Armijo line search, the method is global convergent. Motivated by the idea of \([6,7]\), in this paper, we will combine the spectral gradient method with the conjugate gradient method, and propose a new spectral conjugate gradient method for problem (1). The parameter \( \beta_k \) in the new method is defined by

\[ \beta_k^{NFR} = \frac{(g_k^T g_{k-1})^2}{|| g_{k-1} ||^4}. \] (3)

Under some mild conditions, the global convergence of the proposed method is obtained, and the numerical tests are also given to show the efficiency of the proposed method.

The paper is organized as follows: In the next section, we will propose our algorithm. In Section 3, we will prove its global convergence. In Section 4, we will give the numerical tests.

2. The new spectral conjugate gradient method

Firstly, from the definition of \( \beta_k^{NFR} \), we can obtain

\[ 0 \leq \beta_k^{NFR} \leq \frac{|| g_{k-1} ||^2}{|| g_{k-1} ||^2} = \beta_k^{FR}. \] (4)

Thus, utilizing Zhang et al.\(^{[8]} \)'s idea, in order to let the iterative direction \( d_k \) satisfy the sufficient descent condition \( g_k^T d_k = -|| g_k ||^2 \), we only need to guarantee the following equality hold:

\[ -\theta_k || g_k ||^2 + \beta_k^{NFR} g_k^T d_{k-1} = -|| g_k ||^2. \]

From (3) and \( g_{k-1}^T d_{k-1} = -|| g_{k-1} ||^2 \), we have

\[ \theta_k = \frac{((g_{k-1}^T g_k)^2 || g_k ||^2 || g_{k-1} ||^2 || g_{k-1} ||^2)g_k^T d_{k-1}}{|| g_{k-1} ||^2 || g_k ||^2} \] (5)

Thus, we obtain the following iterative direction

\[ d_k = \begin{cases} -g_k, & k = 0; \\ -\theta_k g_k + \beta_k^{NFR} d_{k-1}, & k > 0. \end{cases} \] (6)

Then, we can propose the following spectral conjugate gradient method (SCGM):
Step 0: Choose \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( \gamma \in (0,1) \), \( \rho \in (0,1) \), \( \mu \geq 0 \), and set \( k := 0 \);
Step 1: If \( \| g_k \| = 0 \), then stop; Otherwise, go to Step 2;
Step 2: From (6) to compute the iterative direction \( d_k \), and set \( \alpha_k \) is the largest \( \alpha \) in \( \{ 1, \rho, \rho^2, \ldots \} \) such that
\[
 f(x_k + \alpha d_k) \leq f(x_k) + \gamma \alpha g_k^T d_k - \mu \alpha^2 \| d_k \|^2 .
\] (7)
Set \( x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k, \) \( k = k + 1 \), and go to Step 1.

3. The global convergence of SCGM

**Lemma 3.1** For any \( k \geq 0 \), we have
\[
g_k^T d_k = -\| g_k \|^2 .
\] (8)
**Proof.** From the definition of \( \theta_k \), it is easy to prove (8).

To prove the global convergence, we need the following assumptions:
(H1) The function \( f(x) \) is bounded from below in the level set \( L_0 = \{ x \mid f(x) \leq f(x_0) \} \).
(H2) \( g(x) \) is Lipschitz continuous in some convex set which contains the level set \( L_0 \), and the Lipschitz constant is denoted by \( L \).

**Lemma 3.2.** For any \( k \geq 0 \), there is a constant \( c > 0 \), such that
\[
\alpha_k \geq c \frac{\| g_k \|^2}{\| d_k \|^2} .
\] (9)
**Proof.** Its proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.1 in [8].

From (8) and (9), we can deduce the following Zoutendijk condition
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\| g_k \|^4}{\| d_k \|^2} < +\infty .
\] (10)

In the following, we will prove the global convergence of the proposed method.

**Theorem 3.1.** Under the assumption (H1) and (H2), the sequence \( \{ x_k \} \) generated by SCGM is global convergent. That is:
\[
\liminf_{k \to \infty} \| g_k \| = 0 .
\] (11)
**Proof.** If the conclusion doesn’t hold, then there is a constant \( \varepsilon > 0 \), such that
\[
\| g_k \| \geq \varepsilon, \forall k \geq 0 .
\]
From (6), we have
\[
\| d_k \|^2 = (\beta_k^{NFR})^2 \| d_{k-1} \|^2 - 2 \theta_k g_k^T d_k - \theta_k^2 \| g_k \|^2 .
\]
Hence, by (4) and (8), we obtain
\[
\| d_k \|^2 \leq \frac{\| g_k \|^2}{\| d_{k-1} \|^2} \| d_{k-1} \|^2 - 2 \theta_k g_k^T d_k - \theta_k^2 \| g_k \|^2 .
\]
Then, dividing $\|g_k\|^4$ on both sides of the above inequality, we can get

$$\frac{\|d_k\|^2}{\|g_k\|^2} \leq \frac{\|d_{k-1}\|^2}{\|g_{k-1}\|^2} + \frac{2\theta_k}{\|g_k\|^2} - \frac{\theta_k^2 \|g_k\|^2}{\|g_k\|^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{\|d_{k-1}\|^2}{\|g_{k-1}\|^2} - \frac{1}{\|g_k\|^2} (1 - \theta_k)^2 + \frac{1}{\|g_k\|^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{\|d_{k-1}\|^2}{\|g_{k-1}\|^2} + \frac{1}{\|g_k\|^2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=k}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\|g_i\|^2} \leq \frac{k}{\epsilon^2}.$$ 

which implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|g_k\|^4}{\|d_k\|^2} > \epsilon^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} = +\infty,$$

and this contradicts with the Zoutendijk condition (10). Therefore, we can get the conclusion (11).

4. **Numerical results**

In the following numerical experiment, we set the parameters $\gamma = 10^{-3}$, $\mu = 10^{-8}$, $\rho = 0.5$. The code is written by Matlab7.1, and is executed on a portable computer with PIV2.8GHz. The new method proposed in this paper is denoted by SCGM., and the method in paper [7] is denoted by VSCG.

Problem 1 \[ f(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_3^2 + x_4^2 - 5(x_1 + x_2) - 21x_3 + 7x_4. \]

Problem 2 \[ f(x) = (1 - x_1)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} (x_i^2 - x_{i-1})^2 + (1 - x_{10})^2. \]

Problem 3 \[ f(x) = e^x + x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 + 4x_2^2. \]

Our numerical results are listed in the form NF/NG/IT/CPU, where NF denotes the number of function evaluations, and NG denotes the number of gradient evaluation. IT denotes the number of iterations, and CPU denotes the CPU time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>NF/NG/IT/CPU</th>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>NF/NG/IT/CPU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8/4/3/01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>82/28/27/0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2354/668/667/0.1102</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2884/195/194/0.0801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>78/25/24/0.01</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>110/28/27/0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The numerical results in Table 1 indicate that the performance of SCGM is more efficient than that of VSCG.
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